## **SD State Standards Disaggregated English Language Arts**

Strand: Reading Text	for Informational Ancho	or Standard: Integration and Ideas	n of Knowledge Grade I	evel: 7
----------------------	-------------------------	------------------------------------	------------------------	---------

# Standards Relating to Integration of Knowledge and Ideas

- 7.RI.7 Compare and contrast a text to an audio, video, or multimedia version of the text, analyzing each medium's portrayal of the subject (e.g., how the delivery of a speech affects the impact of the words).
- 7.RI.8 Trace and evaluate the argument and specific claims in a text.
  - a. Assess whether the reasoning is sound.
  - b. Assess whether the evidence is relevant and sufficient to support the claims.
- 7.RI.9 Analyze how two or more authors writing about the same topic shape their presentations of key information by emphasizing different evidence or advancing different interpretations of facts.

### 

Learning Progression: Integration of Knowledge and Ideas (7.RI.7 7.RI.8 7.RI.9)							
Correlating Standard in Previous Year	Number Sequence & Standard	Correlating Standard in Following Year					
6.RI.7 Integrate information presented in different media or visual formats as well as in words to develop a coherent understanding of a topic or issue.	7.RI.7 Compare and contrast a text to an audio, video, or multimedia version of the text, analyzing each medium's portrayal of the subject (e.g., how the delivery of a speech affects the impact of the words).	8.RI.7 Evaluate the advantages and disadvantages of using different mediums (e.g., print or digital text, video, multimedia) to present a particular topic or idea.					
6.RI.8 Trace and evaluate the argument and specific claims in a text, distinguishing claims that are supported by reasons and evidence from claims that are not.	7.RI.8 Trace and evaluate the argument and specific claims in a text.  a. Assess whether the reasoning is sound.  b. Assess whether the evidence is relevant and sufficient to support the claims.	8.RI.8 Delineate (break down) and evaluate the argument and specific claims in a text;  a. Assess whether the reasoning is sound.  b. Assess whether the evidence is relevant and sufficient.  c. Recognize when irrelevant evidence is introduced.					

6.RI.9 Compare and contrast two authors' presentations of events on the same topic.

7.RI.9 Analyze how two or more authors writing about the same topic shape their presentations of key information by emphasizing different evidence or advancing different interpretations of facts.

8.RI.9 Analyze a case in which two or more texts provide conflicting information on the same topic and identify where the texts disagree on matters of fact or identify where the texts disagree on matters of interpretation.

Rigor and Cognitive Complexity						
Know (Factual)		Understand (Conceptual) The students will understand that:	Do (Procedural/ Application)			
7.RI.7 •	Medium (e.g., text, audio, video, etc.)	7.RI.7 Different mediums can change the portrayal of a subject.	7.RI.7 Evaluate the differences between the written word and different mediums.  Analyze how different mediums change the portrayal of a subject.			
7.RI.8 •	Structure of an argument (claim, reasons, evidence, counterclaim, rebuttal) Relevant vs. irrelevant evidence Sufficient vs. insufficient evidence	7.RI.8 Valid arguments include sound reasoning, strong claims, and sufficient supporting evidence.	7.RI.8  Trace an argument into its component parts.  Evaluate whether the argument contains relevant evidence that is sufficient to support its claim.			
7.RI.9	Presentations of key information Evidence Interpretation Point of view Perspective Fact	7.RI.9 Authors present information about the same topic from different perspectives.  Words can be emphasized in a way that sways the reader toward the authors' point of view.	7.RI.9  Analyze how more than one author presents information about the same topic from different perspectives.  Analyze how each author sways the reader to his or her point of view.			

### **Student Friendly Language**

#### 7.RI.7

I can determine the differences between the written word and different mediums (e.g., audio, video, multimedia). I can explain how different mediums (e.g., audio, video, multimedia) change the portrayal of a subject.

#### 7.RI.8

I can break down an argument into its specific parts.

I can determine whether the argument contains relevant evidence that is sufficient to support its claim.

### 7.RI.9

I can analyze how more than one author presents information about the same topic from different viewpoints. I can analyze how each author sways the reader to his or her point of view.

## **Key Vocabulary**

#### 7.RI.7

- Compare
- Contrast
- Analyze
- Medium (e.g., text, audio, video, etc.)
- Portrayal

### 7.RI.8

- Trace
- Evaluate
- Assess
- Argument
- Claim
- Reasons
- Evidence
- Counterclaim
- Rebuttal
- Relevant
- Irrelevant
- Sufficient
- Insufficient

#### 7.RI.9

- Analyze
- Emphasize
- Evidence
- Interpretation
- Point of view
- Perspective
- Fact

**Relevance and Applications:** How might the skills in the standards be applied at home, on the job or in a real-world, relevant context?

We are constantly bombarded by and potentially manipulated by various media trying to influence opinions about a subject, item, or idea (e.g., a newspaper ad v. electronic ad; hearing a speech v. reading a speech; celebrity endorsement).

In our daily lives, we have to gather and evaluate information in order to weigh our options and make informed decisions (e.g., buying certain products, determining personal stances on political issues, handling peer pressure).

#### Resources

https://doe.sd.gov/octe/ELA-resources.aspx

## **Achievement Level Descriptors**

## Integration of Knowledge and Ideas

- 7.RI.7 Compare and contrast a text to an audio, video, or multimedia version of the text, analyzing each medium's portrayal of the subject (e.g., how the delivery of a speech affects the impact of the words).
- 7.RI.8 Trace and evaluate the argument and specific claims in a text.
  - a. Assess whether the reasoning is sound.
  - b. Assess whether the evidence is relevant and sufficient to support the claims.
- 7.RI.9 Analyze how two or more authors writing about the same topic shape their presentations of key information by emphasizing different evidence or advancing different interpretations of facts.

comprised zing different evidence of advantaling different interpretations of facts.						
Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
In grade-level texts, students	In grade-level texts, students	In grade-level texts, students	In grade-level texts, students			
should be able to	should be able to	should be able to	should be able to			
<ul> <li>With significant support,</li> </ul>	With minimal support,	Compare and contrast	<ul> <li>Compare and contrast</li> </ul>			
compare and contrast	compare and contrast	printed text to various media,	printed text with various			
printed text to various media,	printed text to various media,	such as	media, such as			
such as	such as	audio/video/multimedia,	audio/video/multimedia,			
audio/video/multimedia,	audio/video/multimedia,	analyzing the portrayal of the	thoroughly analyzing the			
minimally analyzing the	partially analyzing the	subject and including	portrayal of the subject and			
portrayal of the subject and	portrayal of the subject and	relevant evidence to justify	including relevant evidence			
including relevant evidence	including relevant evidence	the analysis.	to justify the analysis.			
to justify the analysis.	to justify the analysis.					
		<ul> <li>Trace and evaluate the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Thoroughly trace and</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>With significant support,</li> </ul>	• With minimal support, trace	argument of the text, the	evaluate the argument of the			
trace and minimally evaluate	and partially evaluate the	specific claims, and the	text, the specific claims, and			
the argument of the text, few	argument of the text, some	reasons and evidence	the reasons and evidence			
of the specific claims, and	of the specific claims, and	supporting the claim while	supporting the claim while			
the reasons and evidence.	the reasons and evidence	assessing the soundness,	assessing the soundness,			
<ul> <li>With significant support,</li> </ul>	supporting the claim while	relevance, and sufficiency of	relevance, and sufficiency of			
analyze the presentation of	assessing the soundness,	the reasoning and evidence.	the reasoning and evidence.			
key information on the same	relevance, and sufficiency of					
topic in few texts/media by	the reasoning and evidence.	<ul> <li>Analyze the presentation of</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Analyze the presentation of</li> </ul>			
various authors.		key information on the same	key information on the same			
	<ul> <li>With minimal support,</li> </ul>	topic in various texts/media	topic in various texts/media			
	analyze the presentation of	by various authors,	by various authors,			
	key information on the same	emphasizing different	thoroughly emphasizing			
	topic in various texts/media	evidence or advancing	different evidence or			
	by various authors, partially	different interpretation of	advancing different			
	emphasizing different	facts.	interpretation of facts.			
	evidence or advancing					
	different interpretation of					
	facts.					