# SD State Standards Disaggregated English Language Arts

Strand:	Reading for Informational Text	Anchor Standard:	Integration of Knowledge and Ideas	Grade level:	8	
---------	-----------------------------------	------------------	------------------------------------	--------------	---	--

## Standards Relating to Integration of Knowledge and Ideas

8.RI.7 Evaluate the advantages and disadvantages of using different mediums (e.g., print or digital text, video, multimedia) to present a particular topic or idea.

8.RI.8 Delineate (break down) and evaluate the argument and specific claims in a text;

- a. Assess whether the reasoning is sound.
- b. Assess whether the evidence is relevant and sufficient.
- c. Recognize when irrelevant evidence is introduced.

8.RI.9 Analyze a case in which two or more texts provide conflicting information on the same topic and identify where the texts disagree on matters of fact or identify where the texts disagree on matters of interpretation.

Integration of Knowledge and Ideas: Relevance and Essential Questions: What's the point?			
<b>Essential Questions</b> (Drive Intellectual Curiosity-The Hook)	<b>Big Idea Statements</b> (What students need to discover)		
How do authors reach different audiences? How do readers know what to believe?	Authors make choices about what medium to use based on their audience, task, and purpose. People respond in different ways to information that is presented in different mediums. Readers should think critically about the arguments that authors present, rather than simply taking them at face value. Sometimes authors use faulty logic or irrelevant evidence to support their claims or manipulate readers. It is important to compare texts, especially on controversial topics, and to evaluate the logic and evidence in each of them in order to come to a deeper understanding of the topic.		

Learning Progression: Integration of Knowledge and Ideas (8.RI.7 8.RI.8 8.RI.9)			
Correlating Standard in Previous Year	Number Sequence & Standard	Correlating Standard in Following Year	
7.RI.7 Compare and contrast a text to an audio, video, or multimedia version of the text, analyzing each medium's portrayal of the subject (e.g., how the delivery of a speech affects the impact of the words).	8.RI.7 Evaluate the advantages and disadvantages of using different mediums (e.g., print or digital text, video, multimedia) to present a particular topic or idea.	9-10.RI.7 Analyze various accounts of a subject told in different mediums (e.g., a person's life story in both print and multimedia), determining which details are emphasized in each account.	
7.RI.8 Trace and evaluate the	8.RI.8 Delineate (break down) and	9-10.RI.8 Delineate (break down)	

<ul> <li>argument and specific claims in a text.</li> <li>a. Assess whether the reasoning is sound.</li> <li>b. Assess whether the evidence is relevant and sufficient to support the claims.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>evaluate the argument and specific claims in a text;</li> <li>a. Assess whether the reasoning is sound.</li> <li>b. Assess whether the evidence is relevant and sufficient.</li> <li>c. Recognize when irrelevant evidence is introduced.</li> </ul>	and evaluate the argument and specific claims in a text, assessing whether the reasoning is valid and the evidence is relevant and sufficient; identify false statements and fallacious reasoning.
7.RI.9 Analyze how two or more authors writing about the same topic shape their presentations of key information by emphasizing different evidence or advancing different interpretations of facts.	8.RI.9 Analyze a case in which two or more texts provide conflicting information on the same topic and identify where the texts disagree on matters of fact or identify where the texts disagree on matters of interpretation.	9-10.RI.9 Analyze seminal U.S. documents of historical and literary significance, including how they address related themes and concepts.

Rigor and Cognitive Complexity				
Know (Factual)		Understand (Conceptual) The students will understand that:	Do (Procedural/ Application)	
8.RI.7 •	Characteristics of different mediums (i.e. print, visual, audio, digital, multimedia)	<ul> <li>8.RI.7 There are advantages and disadvantages to each different text medium.</li> <li>Authors choose a medium to use based on their audience, task, and purpose.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>8.RI.7 Describe the advantages and disadvantages of different text mediums.</li> <li>Compare and contrast texts on the same topic in different mediums.</li> <li>Evaluate the effectiveness of a specific medium based on the audience, task, and purpose of the text.</li> </ul>	
8.RI.8 • •	Structure of an argument (claim, reasons, evidence, counterclaim, rebuttal) Relevant vs. irrelevant evidence Sufficient vs. insufficient evidence Rhetorical appeals (ethos, pathos, logos) Sound reasoning and some common logical fallacies	<ul> <li>8.RI.8</li> <li>Effective arguments include claims that are supported by reasoning and sufficient evidence, as well as an acknowledgement and rebuttal of counterclaims.</li> <li>Authors use three main types of appeals (emotional, logical, ethical) to persuade an audience.</li> <li>Logical fallacies are flaws or mistakes in reasoning and support for a claim.</li> <li>Authors sometimes manipulate or mislead readers in different ways.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>8.RI.8</li> <li>Delineate (break down) an argument into its component parts.</li> <li>Identify evidence that is relevant or irrelevant to the author's claim.</li> <li>Evaluate whether an author provides sufficient evidence to support a claim.</li> <li>Evaluate the soundness of an author's reasoning.</li> </ul>	
8. • •	<b>RI.9</b> Fact vs. Opinion Interpretation of information Slant and bias	<b>8.RI.9</b> Authors may interpret the same set of facts in different ways and may disagree on matters of fact or opinion.	<b>8.RI.9</b> Compare and contrast two or more texts on the same topic.	

An author's bias may influence the way that he/she interprets or presents facts and evidence.	Analyze the texts to identify where the authors disagree on matters of fact or opinion.
Reading critically, especially when texts disagree on matters of fact or opinion, helps readers better understand complex or controversial topics.	

#### Student Friendly Language

#### 8.RI.7

I can describe the advantages and disadvantages of different text mediums.

I can compare and contrast texts in different mediums on the same topic.

I can decide how well the medium worked to reach the audience and accomplish the author's purpose and task.

#### 8.RI.8

I can break down an argument into its parts (like claims, reasons, evidence, counterclaims, and rebuttal).

I can recognize when an author uses irrelevant evidence.

I can decide if an author has used enough evidence to support his/her claim.

I can decide if an author's reasoning is sound and logical.

#### 8.RI.9

I can compare and contrast two or more texts on the same topic.

I can analyze the texts to identify when the authors disagree on matters of fact or opinion.

# Key Vocabulary

<ul><li>8.RI.7</li><li>Evaluate</li><li>Mediums</li></ul>	<ul> <li>8.RI.8</li> <li>Delineate</li> <li>Evaluate</li> <li>Argument</li> <li>Refute</li> <li>Rebuttal</li> <li>Rhetoric: Ethos/Pathos/Logos</li> <li>Claim</li> <li>Assess</li> <li>Reasoning</li> <li>Logic</li> <li>Logical Fallacy</li> <li>Evidence</li> <li>Relevant</li> <li>Irrelevant</li> <li>Officient</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>8.RI.9</li> <li>Analyze</li> <li>Conflicting information</li> <li>Interpret</li> <li>Fact</li> <li>Opinion</li> <li>Bias</li> <li>Slant</li> </ul>

**Relevance and Applications:** How might the skills in the standards be applied at home, on the job or in a real-world, relevant context?

As adults, we spend a great amount of time convincing people about issues we care about and sharing information, so it is vital to know how to apply various mediums to reach the audience we are addressing and accomplish our goal.

We need the ability to understand and assess the credibility of information in our daily lives (i.e. gossip, social media and viral online content, advertisements, etc.) to avoid being taken in by scams, propaganda, and false information.

We will encounter conflicting information, especially about controversial topics and "hot-button issues." When this happens, we need to read further and evaluate the sources (for purpose, bias, and faulty logic) so that we can make decisions based on the best-available information. We should avoid relying on only one information source.

#### Resources

https://doe.sd.gov/octe/ELA-resources.aspx

### Integration of Knowledge and Ideas:

8.RI.7 Evaluate the advantages and disadvantages of using different mediums (e.g., print or digital text, video, multimedia) to present a particular topic or idea.

8.RI.8 Delineate (break down) and evaluate the argument and specific claims in a text;

- a. Assess whether the reasoning is sound.
- b. Assess whether the evidence is relevant and sufficient.
- c. Recognize when irrelevant evidence is introduced.

8.RI.9 Analyze a case in which two or more texts provide conflicting information on the same topic and identify where the texts disagree on matters of fact or identify where the texts disagree on matters of interpretation.

Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
In grade-level texts, students	In grade-level texts, students	In grade-level texts, students	In grade-level and higher
should be able to	should be able to	should be able to	texts, students should be
			able to
<ul> <li>Minimally evaluate the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Partially evaluate the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Evaluate the advantages</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Evaluate the advantages</li> </ul>
advantages and	advantages and	and disadvantages of using	and disadvantages of using
disadvantages of using	disadvantages of using	print/digital/video/multimedia	print/digital/video/multimedia
print/digital/video/multimedia	print/digital/video/multimedia	media to present a particular	media to thoroughly present
media to minimally present a	media to partially present a	topic or idea.	a particular topic or idea.
particular topic or idea.	particular topic or idea.		
			<ul> <li>Thoroughly delineate and</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Minimally delineate and</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Partially delineate and</li> </ul>	argument and specific claims	0
evaluate the argument and	evaluate the argument and	in a text, assessing the	specific claims in a text,
specific claims in a text.	specific claims in a text,	soundness of reasons,	assessing the soundness of
	assessing the soundness of	relevance and sufficiency of	reasons, relevance and
Minimally analyze two texts	reasons, relevance and	the evidence.	sufficiency of the evidence.
that provide conflicting	sufficiency of the evidence.		
information about the same		<ul> <li>Analyze two texts that</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Thoroughly analyze at least</li> </ul>
topic and identify when two	<ul> <li>Partially analyze two texts</li> </ul>	provide conflicting	two texts that provide
texts disagree.	that provide conflicting	information about the same	conflicting information about
	information about the same	topic and identify when two	the same topic and identify
	topic and identify when two	texts disagree on matter of	when two texts disagree on
	texts disagree.	fact or identify when the	matter of fact or identify
		texts disagree on	when the texts disagree on
		interpretation.	interpretation.