

STUDENT NAME:					SIMS:		
SCHOOL DISTRICT:		SCHOOL: M		MEETIN	MEETING DATE:		
Specific	Specific Learning Disability – 525       ARSD: 24:05:24.01:18, 24:05:24.01:19, 24:05:25:07, 24:05:25:08, 24:05:25:11, 24:05:25:12, 24:05:25:13, 24:05:25:13.01						
The team has compared and interpreted the data on the front page of the eligibility document and has the following interpretation:							
	Does the student achieve adequately for the student's age or to meet state-approved grade-level stand of more of the following areas, when provided with learning experiences and instruction appropriate for student's age or state- approved grade-level standards  Does Does not						
1	□ □ Coral Expression   □ □ Listening Comprehension   □ □ Written Expression   □ □ Basic Reading Skills   □ □ Reading Fluency Skills   □ □ Reading Comprehension   □ □ Mathematics Calculation   □ □ Mathematics Reasoning						
	Please indicate which method the team will be using to determine SLD eligibility:						
	☐ (Method A Discrepancy)		☐ (Method B i	Respons	e to Intervention)		
2	The student exhibits a pattern of weaknesses in performance, ach relative to age, state-approved g or intellectual development, that team to be relevant to the identif learning disability in one or more above when using appropriate a	nievement, or both, rade level standards, is determined by the ication of a specific of the areas identified	determines the to meet age or one or more of process based	student h state-app the areas on the stu I intervent	hered, the evaluation team has not made sufficient progress roved grade-level standards in didentified above when using a sudent's response to scientific, tions. (Send Rtl plan to SD		
The Following Sections (3-8) Required only for <u>Initial</u> Eligibility Determination							
	Document data that demonstrates that prior to, or as part of, the referral process, the student was provided appropriate instruction in regular education settings by qualified personnel:						
3							



	(Method A Discrepancy)	(Method B Response to Intervention)				
	Nothing needed	Qualified Personnel:				
		Teacher: Certified: Yes □ No □ For: Tier I □ II □ III □				
		Teacher:				
4		Certified: Yes □ No □ For: Tier I □ II □ III □				
		Teacher: Certified: Yes □ No □ For: Tier I □ II □ III □				
		Teacher: Certified: Yes □ No □ For: Tier I □ II □ III □				
	Data-based documentation of repeated assessments of	achievement at reasonable intervals, reflecting formal				
	assessment of student progress during instruction, which					
5		_				
5						
	(Method A Discrepancy)	(Method B Response to Intervention)				
	Nothing needed					
		Week 1: Date: Score: Week 2: Date: Score:				
		Week 3: Date: Score:				
		Week 4: Date: Score: Score: Score:				
6		Week 6: Date: Score:				
		Week 7: Date: Score:				
		Week 8: Date: Score: Score:				
		Week 10: Date: Score:				
		Week 11: Date: Score: *Week 12: Date: Score:				
		Week 12. Date Score				
		*Attach information if needed				
	Attendance Record:					
	School Year: Total days of school: T	otal days in attendance:				
7	Total days absent: Quarter 1: Quarter 2:	Quarter 3: Quarter 4:				
	School Year: Total days of school: T	otal days in attendance:				
	Total days absent: Quarter 1: Quarter 2:	Quarter 3: Quarter 4:				
	A student may not be determined to be a student with a disability if the determinant factor is a lack of appropriate					
	instruction.					
X	Is the underachievement of the student due to the lack of instruction in:					
	☐ Yes ☐ No – Reading ☐ Yes ☐ No – Math					
	L 165 L INO - IVIAUI					



The Following Sections Required for all Eligibility Determination					
	(Method A Discrepancy)	(Method B Response to Intervention)			
9	<ul> <li>Observation of the student's performance in the regular classroom was done after the student has been referred for an evaluation.</li> </ul>	☐ Information from an observation in routine classroom instruction and monitoring of the student's performance was done before the student w as referred for an evaluation.			
	Observation: Relevant behaviors, if any, noted during the observation of the student and relationship of those behaviors to academic functioning. The observation must occur in the student's learning environment (including regular classroom setting) to document the student's academic performance and behavior in the <u>areas of difficulty.</u>				
	In the case of a student of less than school age or out of school, a group member must observe the student in an environment appropriate for a student of that age.				
	Observer:	Date of Observation:			
	Observation Comments:				
	Document educationally relevant medical findings:				
10					
	The evaluation team determines that the student's achiev	ement level problem is/is not primarily the result of:			
11	<ul> <li>□ Is</li> <li>□ Is Not - Visual, hearing or motor disabilities;</li> <li>□ Is</li> <li>□ Is Not - Cognitive Disability;</li> <li>□ Is</li> <li>□ Is Not - Emotional Disability;</li> <li>□ Is</li> <li>□ Is Not - Cultural factors;</li> <li>□ Is</li> <li>□ Is Not - Environmental or economic disadvant</li> <li>□ Is</li> <li>□ Is Not - Limited English proficiency.</li> </ul>	age;			
	j				



	ction should only be completed if using a state-approved criteria will be used to determine eligibility	response to	o Intervention model			
	(Method B Response to Intervention)					
	If the student has participated in a process that assesses the student's response to scientific, research-based intervention document the following:					
10	The instructional strategies used in the Rtl process that assesses the student's response to scientific, research-based intervention:					
	The student has participated in weeks of Tier 3 interventions. (At least two phases of Tier 3 interventions to consider eligibility)					
	Tier 3 supports include minutes of core reading instruction (to include flexible reading small group time) and minutes of intensive, individualized intervention. The frequency of the interventions is times per week.					
12	Researched-based intervention strategies (please list by type, not name).					
	Name of Research-based Intervention strategy:		Duration of the intervention:			
	Universal Screening scores: Fall: Winter: Spring: Actual rate of progress compared to benchmark standard: Grade level benchmark standard for end of school year: Desired Rate of Progress: Predicted Rate of Progress: Is the Predicted Rate of Progress significantly below grade level expectations? □ Yes □ No					
			<del>-</del>			
The Eligib	ility team determined that:					
☐ Yes ☐	No - The student meets criteria under the category of <b>Spec</b>	ific Learning	g Disability			
	t reflects the Eligibility team's conclusions. If not, person(s) e statement.	in disagreem	ent will indicate such and must submit			
Name Tea	ım Members					
	Parent (s)	☐ Agree	☐ Disagree			
	General Education Teacher	☐ Agree	☐ Disagree			
	Person qualified to interpret results	☐ Agree	☐ Disagree			
		□ Agree □ Agree	<ul><li>☐ Disagree</li><li>☐ Disagree</li></ul>			
		□ Agree	☐ Disagree			
		☐ Agree	☐ Disagree			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	☐ Agree	□ Disagree			