
Nation's Report Card

## 2022 READING STATE SNAPSHOT REPORT SOUTH DAKOTA GRADE 4 PUBLIC SCHOOLS

# **OVERALL RESULTS**

- In 2022, the average score of fourth-grade students in South Dakota was 218. This was not significantly different from the average score of 216 for students in the nation.
- The average score for students in South Dakota in 2022 (218) was lower than their average score in 2019 (222) and in 2003 (222).
- The percentage of students in South Dakota who performed at or above the *NAEP Proficient* level was 32 percent in 2022. This percentage was not significantly different from that in 2019 (36 percent) and in 2003 (33 percent).
- The percentage of students in South Dakota who performed at or above the *NAEP Basic* level was 65 percent in 2022. This percentage was smaller than that in 2019 (69 percent) and in 2003 (69 percent).


## NAEP ACHIEVEMENT-LEVEL PERCENTAGES AND AVERAGE SCORE RESULTS



\* Significantly different (p < .05) from the state's results in 2022. Significance tests were performed using unrounded numbers.

NOTE: NAEP achievement levels are to be used on a trial basis and should be interpreted and used with caution. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.

### COMPARE THE AVERAGE SCORE IN 2022 TO OTHER STATES/ JURISDICTIONS



In 2022, the average score in South Dakota (218) was

lower than those in 6 states/jurisdictions

higher than those in 14 states/jurisdictions

not significantly different from those in 31 states/jurisdictions

DoDEA = Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).

## **RESULTS FOR STUDENT GROUPS IN 2022**

REPORTING GROUPS	PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS		OR A	ENTAGE AT BOVE <i>NAEP</i> PROFICIENT	PERCENTAGE AT NAEP ADVANCED
Race/Ethnicity					
White	70	225	72	38	9
Black	3	‡	‡	‡	‡
Hispanic	7	207	54	18	3
Asian	2	‡	‡	‡	‡
American Indian/Alaska Native	11	187	34	11	2
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	#	‡	‡	‡	‡
Two or More Races	6	214	61	29	5
Gender					
Male	52	213	59	29	5
Female	48	224	70	36	9
National School Lunch Program					
Eligible	27	197	43	14	2
Not eligible	73	226	73	39	9
// Decomplete means					

# Rounds to zero.


‡ Reporting standards not met.

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding, and because the "Information not available" category for the National School Lunch Program, which provides free/reduced-price lunches, is not displayed. Black includes African American and Hispanic includes Latino. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin.



NOTE: The NAEP reading scale ranges from 0 to 500. Results presented in this report are based on public school students only. Statistical comparisons are calculated on the basis of unrounded scale scores or percentages. Score gap results for "White," "Black," and "Hispanic" presented in this report are based on the 6-category race/ethnicity variable with data available starting in early 1990s. Read more about how to interpret NAEP results from the reading assessment at interpret results. For more information and additional comparisons please visit the Nation's Report Card and NAEP Data Explorer. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2003–2022 Reading Assessments.

### AVERAGE SCORES FOR STATE/JURISDICTION AND THE NATION (PUBLIC)



\* Significantly different (p < .05) from 2022. Significance tests were performed using unrounded numbers.

#### SCORE GAPS FOR STUDENT GROUPS

- Data are not reported for Black students in 2022, because reporting standards were not met.
- In 2022, Hispanic students had an average score that was 18 points lower than that for White students. Data are not reported for Hispanic students in 2003, because reporting standards were not met.
- In 2022, male students in South Dakota had an average score that was lower than that for female students by 11 points.
- In 2022, students who were eligible for the National School Lunch Program (NSLP) had an average score that was 28 points lower than that for students who were not eligible. This performance gap was wider than that in 2003 (20 points).