

Email: <u>DOE.SchoolLunch@state.sd.us</u>

## STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

School	Food Authority Name: Castlewood School District
Date of	Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): <u>12/15/16</u>
Date re	view results were provided to the School Food Authority: <u>3/14/17</u>
Date re	view summary was publicly posted: 7/19/2017
complia nutritio civil rigl	iew summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's ance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school in environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to hits, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings and to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).
Genera	l Program Participation
1.	What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)
	XX School Breakfast Program XX National School Lunch Program
	☐ Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program
	☐ Afterschool Snack
	☐ Special Milk Program
	□ Seamless Summer Option
2.	Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)
	□ Community Eligibility Provision
	□ Special Provision 1
	☐ Special Provision 2
	□ Special Provision 3
Review	Findings
3.	Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?  X Yes   No



Email: DOE.SchoolLunch@state.sd.us

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

YES	NO			REVIEW FINDINGS			
Х		A. Pr	ogram A	Access and Reimbursement			
		YES	NO				
		Х		Certification and Benefit Issuance			
		Х		Verification			
		Х		Meal Counting and Claiming			
		Findin	g(s) Deta	ails:			
		1)	Findi	ng PS1 #1: Off Site 106 & 113(a). School Food Authority (SFA) had			
			listed	six people with access to free and reduced application (FR app)			
			infor	mation and benefit issuance system/documentation. Since this is			
			-	nally identifying information (PII) this information must be limited			
		to only those staff with a direct need to know the information for					
			-	ation of the school nutrition program.			
		2)		ng PS1 #2: On-Site 128. One application contained child income that			
				nissed during the income calculation. This error did not change the			
				es benefits denied meal benefits. According to the Eligibility Manual			
				chool Meals (Elig. Manual), routine children's income must be			
				ded on the FR app and included in the household income calculation ablish free and reduced price meal benefits. Technical assistance			
				provided during the on-site review to the Determining Official.			
				g that time, the reviewer went over the income section of the FR			
			app with the determining official to help her gain a better understanding				
		of the new family friendly application format.					
		3)		ng PS1 #3: Off Site 203. SFA was unaware of the verification for			
			cause	requirement for questionable applications received from			
			house	eholds. SFAs have an obligation to verify all questionable free and			
			reduc	ed price applications; this process is called "verification for cause."			
			Durin	g the on-site, the reviewer explained verification for cause and			
			-	ded information from the Elig. Manual about when questions or			
			-	ionable applications are received that require Verification for Cause.			
		4)		ng #4: 305(a): Offer versus Serve. SFA was requiring all high school			
				ents to take 1 cup of fruit or vegetable during lunch. During the on-			
				the reviewer explained that under offer versus serve at lunch,			
				ents must take a minimum of three components. Two of those			
				onents must be taken in the full, planned quantity and the third			
			comp	onent must be at least ½ cup fruit and/or vegetable.			
Х		B. M	lool Dott	erns and Nutritional Quality			
^		YES	NO	erns and Nutritional Quality			
		X		Meal Components and Quantities			
			X	Offer versus Serve			
		П	X	Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis			
		Findin	g(s) Deta				
		1)		ng PS2 #5: On-Site 404, 502. SFA posted a daily menu, similar to			
		-/		is on their website. The information provided on menu did not			
				in a reimbursable breakfast or lunch to students. The SFA must post			
				to help inform students of the minimum meal components (food			



Email: DOE.SchoolLunch@state.sd.us

		visite	s) that make up a reimbursable meal. After breakfast, the reviewer d with the SFA about this requirement. Reviewer emailed them ral pictures of signs ideas from other schools.
Χ			utrition Environment
	YES	NO	
	X		Food Safety
	Х		Local School Wellness Policy
	Х		Competitive Foods
	X		Other
		g(s) Det	
	1)		ng #11, On-Site 1410: SFA has tuna from Thailand, bananas and
			darin oranges from foreign countries. All purchases of food must
		-	oly with the Buy American requirement. The reviewer provided
			mation about the Buy American requirement during the on-site
			w. Reviewer instructed SFA to contact their vendors to see if an rican product was available for tuna, bananas and mandarin oranges.
			ne available at a reasonable price, SFA must collect documentation
			their vendors to explain this or SFA must document the process
			to determine a product is not a product of the USA.
	2)		ng #7: Off Site 1004; The SFA directly solicits members of for their
			ness Committee which limits participation from various stakeholders.
			must allow parents, students, representatives of the SFA, teachers
			ysical education, school health professionals, the school board,
		-	ol administrators, and the general public to participate in the
			lopment, implementation, and periodic review and update of the
		local	school wellness policy. During on-site interview, various options
		were	discussed for soliciting various stakeholders, including a notification
		being	g included in the Parent Packets that are sent out yearly, information
		-	g included in the Student Handbook and flyers being put up in the
			ol building.
	3)		ng #8: Off Site 1005, 1006: SFA has not completed a recent
			sment on the implementation of Wellness Policy. The final
		_	ation requires SFAs to perform an assessment on their wellness
		-	y every 3 years. This assessment must determine the SFAs
			oliance with their wellness policy, how it compares to the state
			el policy, and progress towards reaching goals set in the SFA's
	4)		ness policy.
	4)		ng #9: Off Site 1103: SFA currently does not track smart snack pliance. SFA does not currently have vending machines, school store,
		-	c bar or do any school fundraisers during the school day, however
			in the past. SFAs are required to track all foods sold to students
			g the school day outside of the reimbursable meals, defined as
			ight to 30 minutes after the end of the school day. Reviewer
			ded TA during on site visit to explain the requirement and situations
		-	would apply.
	5)		ng #10: On-Site 1300: SFA did not have a source of free water
			able at breakfast. At lunch the water was provided in an igloo water
			nser. All SFAs must provide a free, potable source of water during



Email: <u>DOE.SchoolLunch@state.sd.us</u>

		Erraii. <u>Boelochooleariche</u>
		breakfast and lunch meal service. The reviewer suggests the same
		dispenser used at lunch time be used at breakfast.
	6)	Finding #12, Off Site 1601: SFA was unaware that it is required to inform
		families of the availability of meals offered through the Summer Food
		Service Program before the end of the school year. SFAs must cooperate
		with Summer Food Service Program sponsors to distribute materials to
		inform families of the availability and location of free Summer Food
		Service Program meals for students when school is not in session. During
		the on-site review, technical assistance was given to SFA about the
		requirement and ideas on how to inform families. An electronic poster is
		available at <a href="http://doe.sd.gov/cans/documents/SFO-Flyer.pdf">http://doe.sd.gov/cans/documents/SFO-Flyer.pdf</a> .
Х	D. Civi	Rights
X		Rights s) Details:
Х		
X	Finding(	s) Details:
X	Finding(	s) Details: Finding #6: Off Site 803. Currently the SFA has a general Complaint Policy
X	Finding(	s) Details: Finding #6: Off Site 803. Currently the SFA has a general Complaint Policy that references Federal Funds, but does not specifically reference
X	Finding(	s) Details: Finding #6: Off Site 803. Currently the SFA has a general Complaint Policy that references Federal Funds, but does not specifically reference discrimination within the USDA FNS School Meal Program. All SFAs are
X	Finding(	Finding #6: Off Site 803. Currently the SFA has a general Complaint Policy that references Federal Funds, but does not specifically reference discrimination within the USDA FNS School Meal Program. All SFAs are required to have an informal or formal method to handle complaints of
X	Finding(	s) Details: Finding #6: Off Site 803. Currently the SFA has a general Complaint Policy that references Federal Funds, but does not specifically reference discrimination within the USDA FNS School Meal Program. All SFAs are required to have an informal or formal method to handle complaints of discrimination with in the school meals program. Please review CANS
X	Finding(	s) Details: Finding #6: Off Site 803. Currently the SFA has a general Complaint Policy that references Federal Funds, but does not specifically reference discrimination within the USDA FNS School Meal Program. All SFAs are required to have an informal or formal method to handle complaints of discrimination with in the school meals program. Please review CANS memo #108, Civil Rights Instructions, starting on page 22 for specific guidance on how complaints of discrimination within the school meals
X	Finding(	s) Details: Finding #6: Off Site 803. Currently the SFA has a general Complaint Policy that references Federal Funds, but does not specifically reference discrimination within the USDA FNS School Meal Program. All SFAs are required to have an informal or formal method to handle complaints of discrimination with in the school meals program. Please review CANS memo #108, Civil Rights Instructions, starting on page 22 for specific
X	Finding(	Finding #6: Off Site 803. Currently the SFA has a general Complaint Policy that references Federal Funds, but does not specifically reference discrimination within the USDA FNS School Meal Program. All SFAs are required to have an informal or formal method to handle complaints of discrimination with in the school meals program. Please review CANS memo #108, Civil Rights Instructions, starting on page 22 for specific guidance on how complaints of discrimination within the school meals program need to be handled. This memo is posted on the CANS website