

Email: <u>DOE.SchoolLunch@state.sd.us</u>

STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

School Fo	ood Authority Name: Willow Lake School District
Date of A	dministrative Review (Entrance Conference Date):
Date revi	ew results were provided to the School Food Authority:
Date revi	ew summary was publicly posted: 7/7/2017
compliand nutrition civil rights	w summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's ce with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to s, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).
General P	Program Participation
1. \	What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)
> > - -	□ School Breakfast Program XX National School Lunch Program XX Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program □ Afterschool Snack □ Special Milk Program □ Seamless Summer Option
2. [Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)
	□ Community Eligibility Provision □ Special Provision 1 □ Special Provision 2 □ Special Provision 3
Review Fi	indings
	Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?



Email: DOE.SchoolLunch@state.sd.us

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

YES	NO	REVIEW FINDINGS				
Х		Access and Reimbursement				
		YES	NO			
		Х		Certification and Benefit Issuance		
			Х	Verification		
			Х	Meal Counting and Claiming		
		Findin	g(s) Det	ails:		
		1)		ng 1: Onsite #126: Multiple free and reduced price applications were mined incorrectly.		
			o Tv	vo applications with seven students were approved free based on		
		Medicaid numbers. Medicaid is not an allowed source for a free				
				etermination.		
		 One application with one student was approved as reduced and should have been denied. 				
			o Th	ree applications with seven students were approved free but should		
			ha	ve been reduced.		
X		B. M	leal Patt	erns and Nutritional Quality		
^		YES	NO	erro una reacticonal Quanty		
		X	П	Meal Components and Quantities		
			X	Offer versus Serve		
		Х		Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis		
		Findin	g(s) Det			
		1)	•	ng 2: Onsite #409: The production record submitted for Wednesday		
			12/14	does not have the fruit that was served listed on it. As per 7 CFR		
			210.1	.0(a)(3) schools or school food authorities, as applicable, must keep		
			produ	action and menu records for the meals they produce. These records		
			must	show how the meals offered contribute to the required food		
			-	onents and food quantities for each age/grade group every day.		
		2)		ng 3: Onsite #410: The vegetable subgroup requirement is not being		
				7 CFR 210.10(c)(2)(iii) states that all vegetable subgroups; dark		
			_	n, red/orange, beans and peas (legumes), starchy, and other must be		
			offer	ed during the week in the quantities specified by the meal pattern.		
			The n	ninimum grain serving was not met on Tuesday 12/13. As stated in 2		
				10.10 (c) the minimum grain quantities per day are 1 ounce		
			equiv	ralent (oz eq) for grades K-8 and 2 oz eq for grades 9-12.		
				lardized recipes are not being followed or used correctly.		
				Standardized recipes are necessary to determine the quantity of		
		table components in a recipe and are a requirement as stated in 2				
			10.10(c)(5): All schools must develop and follow standardized			
			-	es. A standardized recipe is a recipe that was tested to provide an		
				lished yield and quantity using the same ingredients for both urement and preparation methods. Standardized recipes developed		
				SDA/FNS are in the Child Nutrition Database. If a school has its own		
				es, they may seek assistance from the State agency or school food		
	i		recip	es, they may seek assistance from the state agency of school lood		



Email: DOE.SchoolLunch@state.sd.us

authority to standardize the recipes. Schools must add any local recipes to their local database as outlined in FNS guidance. Following standardized recipes ensures that the minimum component offerings are being met and compliance with the meal patterns.

According to the production records not enough fruits and vegetables are being served to meet the requirements. Under offer versus serve (OVS) there must be enough fruits and vegetables available for each student to take the full 1 cup offering of fruits and the full 1 cup offering of vegetables.

Production records are incomplete and are not being filled out correctly. Refer to the <u>Food Production Record Handbook</u> on the CANS NSLP website for assistance in completing production records correctly.

- Components are not being documented in the correct places. For example: Monday 12/12 pizza was served. Pizza credits as both a meat/meat alternate (M/MA) and a grain. However, the pizza was only documented in the M/MA section.
- o The OVS section of the production record must be filled out.
- The 'total planned servings' column (column H) is not consistently being filled out. It is also not being filled out correctly.
- The 'total units prepared' column (column I) is not being used appropriately.
- If more than one fruit or vegetable is being served, the 'planned servings' column for each grade group needs to be completed for each fruit and/or vegetable, similar to how the milk section is filled out.

The following items were found while reviewing the weeks to get a correct week for the nutrient analysis. They have already been corrected and addressed.

- Grades that are not OVS (K-2) need to be served the full amount of fruits (1/2 cup) and vegetables (3/4 cup) to have a reimbursable meal.
- Processed products/convenience entrees (such as chicken nuggets) must have a CN label or product formulation statement (PFS) to determine how they credit.
- Yogurt is credited as a M/MA and should be recorded under that section, not the fruit section.
- Juice can be credited as a fruit if it is 100% juice and should be listed in this section on the production record.
- The minimum weekly and daily quantities for M/MA and Grains were not met over the course of several days and weeks. It is important to ensure that these requirements are being met when planning daily and weekly menus.
- Finding 4: The following products being used are not whole grain rich (WGR);
 - Pancho Villa Hard Taco Shells
 - 6" Heat Pressed Flour Tortillas



800 Governors Drive Pierre, SD 57501-2294 T 605.773.3413 F 605.773.6846

www.doe.sd.gov Email: DOE.SchoolLunch@state.sd.us

		1		Email: DOE.3ChooiLunch@			
				Prairie Pantry Baker Boy Jumbo Hot Dog Buns			
		Beginning July 1, 2014 all grains served must be whole grain rich as stated					
		in 7 CFR 210.10. Whole-grain rich products must contain at least 50					
		percent whole grains and the remaining grains in the product must be					
		enriched. Only 72.5% of grains being served were whole grain rich. The					
		Whole Grain Resource can be found on the CANS NSLP webpage and can					
		be used for more information.					
		and a dead in the control of the con					
		During the weeks working towards getting a corrected week the following					
				ucts did not meet the whole grain rich requirement.			
			-	Bosco Sticks			
				> French Toast			
				o Popcorn Chicken			
				State Fair Original Corn Dogs			
		4)		ng 5: Offsite 602, Onsite 604: The SFA was determined to be high			
		.,		which means a nutrient analysis was conducted.			
Х	П	C. Sc		utrition Environment			
^	Ш	YES	NO	ACTION ENVIRONMENT			
			X	Food Safety			
		X		Local School Wellness Policy			
		X		Competitive Foods			
		X	/ \	Other			
			g(s) Det				
		1)		ng 7: Offsite 1005, 1006: There is no available wellness policy			
				ssment. All local wellness policies require an assessment once every			
				e years. The first assessment must be done by July 1, 2017. This			
				ssment measures the schools' compliance with the local school			
				ness policy. The assessment results must be made available to the			
			-	c. The assessment must measure the implementation of the local			
				ol wellness policy, and include:			
		(i) The extent to which schools under the jurisdiction of the local					
				ducational agency are in compliance with the local school wellness			
				olicy;			
		 (ii) The extent to which the local educational agency's local school wellness policy compares to model local school wellness policies; and (iii) A description of the progress made in attaining the goals of the local school wellness policy. 					
			Make	e appropriate updates or modifications to the local school wellness			
			polic	y, based on the 3 year assessment.			
		2) Finding 8: Onsite 1105: Some items in the beverage vending machine do					
			not r	neet the most restrictive requirements for all students. Smart Snacks			
			rules	state that the most restrictive requirements for items in vending			
		machines must be followed. Since the vending machine is in an area that					
		all students (grades K-12) have access to, the elementary requirements would be the most restrictive. Noncompliant items include:					
		a. All varieties of pop					
				o. Gold Peak Tea			
				c. Sno-berry Peace Tea			
				d. Razzleberry Peace Tea			



Email: DOE.SchoolLunch@state.sd.us

	3) Finding 9: Offsite 1601: The SFA did not notify eligible households of the availability and location of free meals for students via the Summer Food Service Program. Notifying families of the availability of free meals during the summer is a requirement of the National School Lunch Program.		
Х	D. Civil Rights		
	Finding(s) Details:		
	 Finding 6: Offsite 803: SFA does not have a formal or informal policy for receiving and processing civil rights discrimination complaints within the school meal program. 		