

800 Governors Drive Pierre, SD 57501-2235 T 605.773.3413 F 605.773.6846 www.doe.sd.gov

Email: <a href="mailto:DOE.SchoolLunch@state.sd.us">DOE.SchoolLunch@state.sd.us</a>

## STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

School Food Authority Name: <u>Bridgewater-Emery School District</u>			
Date of	Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): May 2, 2019		
Date re	Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority: May 31, 2019		
Date re	view summary was publicly posted: August 30, 2019		
complia nutritio civil rigl	riew summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's ance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school on environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to hats, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review finding and to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).		
Genera	l Program Participation		
1.	What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)		
	□ School Breakfast Program		
	☐ National School Lunch Program		
	☐ Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program		
	<ul><li>☐ Afterschool Snack</li><li>☐ Special Milk Program</li></ul>		
	□ Seamless Summer Option		
2.	Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)		
	☐ Community Eligibility Provision		
	☐ Special Provision 1		
	☐ Special Provision 2		
	☐ Special Provision 3		
Review	Findings		
3.	Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?  ⊠ Yes □ No		

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

A. Program Access and Reimbursement  YES NO  Certification and Benefit Issuance  Meal Counting and Claiming  Finding(s) Details:  1) The SFA does not have documentation demonstrating that a coreview took place.  2) Not all applications selected for review were verified correctly. written evidence did not contain the amount of income receive written evidence did not contain the amount of income receive    B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality  YES NO  Meal Components and Quantities  Meal Components and Quantities  Meal Components and Nutrient Analysis  Finding(s) Details:  C. School Nutrition Environment  YES NO  Competitive Foods  Meal Components and Nutrient Analysis  Finding(s) Details:  Competitive Foods  Meal Components and Cuantities  Meal Components and Claiming  Meal Components and Meal Components  Meal Components a		
YES   NO		
Finding(s) Details:  1) The SFA does not have documentation demonstrating that a coreview took place.  2) Not all applications selected for review were verified correctly. written evidence did not contain the amount of income receive written evidence did not contain the amount of income receive NO  B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality  YES NO  Meal Components and Quantities  Offer versus Serve  Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis Finding(s) Details:  C. School Nutrition Environment  YES NO  Pood Safety  Dietary Specifications Policy  Competitive Foods  Other  Finding(s) Details:  1) On-site monitoring was not completed for all or some of the sit the SFA for breakfast.  2) Storage violations were observed on-site. The SFA had food that dated upon delivery.  3) Storage violations were observed on-site. The SFA had food that		
1) The SFA does not have documentation demonstrating that a correview took place.  2) Not all applications selected for review were verified correctly. written evidence did not contain the amount of income received.    B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality   YES		
Rotal applications selected for review were verified correctly. written evidence did not contain the amount of income received		
written evidence did not contain the amount of income received  B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality  YES NO  □ Meal Components and Quantities □ Moffer versus Serve □ Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis  Finding(s) Details:  C. School Nutrition Environment  YES NO □ Food Safety □ Local School Wellness Policy □ Competitive Foods □ Other  Finding(s) Details:  1) On-site monitoring was not completed for all or some of the sit the SFA for breakfast.  2) Storage violations were observed on-site. The SFA had food that dated upon delivery.  3) Storage violations were observed on-site. The SFA had food that	at a confirmation	
YES   NO	-	
	☑ B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality	
□ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □		
Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis  Finding(s) Details:  C. School Nutrition Environment  YES NO    Food Safety   Local School Wellness Policy   Competitive Foods   Other  Finding(s) Details:  1) On-site monitoring was not completed for all or some of the sit the SFA for breakfast.  2) Storage violations were observed on-site. The SFA had food that dated upon delivery.  3) Storage violations were observed on-site. The SFA had food that		
Finding(s) Details:  C. School Nutrition Environment  YES NO		
C. School Nutrition Environment  YES NO    Food Safety   Local School Wellness Policy   Competitive Foods   Other  Finding(s) Details:  1) On-site monitoring was not completed for all or some of the sit the SFA for breakfast.  2) Storage violations were observed on-site. The SFA had food that dated upon delivery.  3) Storage violations were observed on-site. The SFA had food that dated upon delivery.		
YES NO  □ Food Safety □ Local School Wellness Policy □ Competitive Foods □ Other  Finding(s) Details: 1) On-site monitoring was not completed for all or some of the sit the SFA for breakfast.  2) Storage violations were observed on-site. The SFA had food that dated upon delivery.  3) Storage violations were observed on-site. The SFA had food that		
□		
□ □ Local School Wellness Policy □ □ Competitive Foods □ Other  Finding(s) Details: 1) On-site monitoring was not completed for all or some of the sit the SFA for breakfast.  2) Storage violations were observed on-site. The SFA had food the dated upon delivery.  3) Storage violations were observed on-site. The SFA had food that		
□ □ Competitive Foods □ Other  Finding(s) Details: 1) On-site monitoring was not completed for all or some of the sit the SFA for breakfast.  2) Storage violations were observed on-site. The SFA had food that dated upon delivery.  3) Storage violations were observed on-site. The SFA had food that		
<ul> <li>☑ □ Other</li> <li>Finding(s) Details:         <ul> <li>1) On-site monitoring was not completed for all or some of the sit the SFA for breakfast.</li> </ul> </li> <li>2) Storage violations were observed on-site. The SFA had food the dated upon delivery.</li> <li>3) Storage violations were observed on-site. The SFA had food that</li> </ul>		
Finding(s) Details:  1) On-site monitoring was not completed for all or some of the sit the SFA for breakfast.  2) Storage violations were observed on-site. The SFA had food that dated upon delivery.  3) Storage violations were observed on-site. The SFA had food that		
<ol> <li>On-site monitoring was not completed for all or some of the sit the SFA for breakfast.</li> <li>Storage violations were observed on-site. The SFA had food that dated upon delivery.</li> <li>Storage violations were observed on-site. The SFA had food that</li> </ol>		
the SFA for breakfast.  2) Storage violations were observed on-site. The SFA had food the dated upon delivery.  3) Storage violations were observed on-site. The SFA had food that	Ale a state a containte	
dated upon delivery.  3) Storage violations were observed on-site. The SFA had food that	the sites within	
	ood that was not	
	ood that was not	
□ D. Civil Rights		
Finding(s) Details:		
The SFA does not have a civil right complaint process in place the second complaint process in the second complaint process in place the second complaint process in the second complaint pro		
ensures that all complaints will be forwarded to the appropriat office.	opriate USDA	