

800 Governors Drive Pierre, SD 57501-2235 T 605.773.3413 F 605.773.6846 www.doe.sd.gov

Email: <u>DOE.SchoolLunch@state.sd.us</u>

## STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

School Food Authority Name: Hanson School District								
Date of	Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): April 3, 2019							
Date re	Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority: May 3, 2019							
Date re	view summary was publicly posted: August 30, 2019							
complia nutritio civil rigl	iew summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's ance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school n environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to nets, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings and to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).							
Genera	l Program Participation							
1.	What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)							
	⊠ School Breakfast Program							
	□ National School Lunch Program     □							
	☐ Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program							
	☐ Afterschool Snack							
	<ul><li>☐ Special Milk Program</li><li>☐ Seamless Summer Option</li></ul>							
2.	Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)							
	☐ Community Eligibility Provision							
	☐ Special Provision 1							
	☐ Special Provision 2							
	☐ Special Provision 3							
Review	Findings							
3.	Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?  ⊠ Yes □ No							

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

YES	NO	REVIEW FINDINGS			
$\boxtimes$		A. Pr	ogram	Access and Reimbursement	
		YES	NO		
		$\boxtimes$		Certification and Benefit Issuance	
		$\boxtimes$		Verification	
		$\boxtimes$		Meal Counting and Claiming	
		Findin	g(s) Det	ails:	
		1)		FA was annualizing income on applications that should have been	
				mined based on the pay frequency provided.	
		2)			
		3)		FA did not provide written notification of Verification or results of	
			veriti	cation to the households.	
		4)	The S	FA did not have a reliable system for obtaining meal counts at the	
		-,		of service for breakfast or lunch.	
			pomit	of service for streamast of fations	
$\boxtimes$		B. M	eal Pati	terns and Nutritional Quality	
		YES	NO		
		$\boxtimes$		Meal Components and Quantities	
				Offer versus Serve	
				Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis	
		Findin	g(s) Det	ails:	
		1)	For tl	ne week of menu review, the lunch menu for the 9-12 grade group	
				ot meet the minimum daily and weekly requirements for grain. A	
			_	was offered daily, however the minimum daily and weekly	
			requi	rements were not met.	
		2)	C 41		
		2)		ne week of menu review, the lunch menu for the K—5 and the 9-12	
			_	e groups did not meet the minimum daily fruit requirement. Fruit offered daily, however the minimum required portion size was not	
			met.	offered daily, flowever the minimum required portion size was not	
			met.		
		3)	For tl	ne week of menu review, the lunch menu for the K-5 grade group did	
		,		neet the minimum weekly requirement for the beans/legumes	
				able subgroup.	
		4)		ne week of menu review, the breakfast menu for the K-5, 6-8, and 9-	
				ade groups did not meet the minimum daily fruit requirement. Fruit	
				offered daily, however the minimum required portion size was not	
			met.		
		۲\	Dron	or avaditing decumentation for all products was not maintained an	
		5)	file.	er crediting documentation for all products was not maintained on	
			me.		
$\boxtimes$		C. Sc	hool N	utrition Environment	
لاع		YES	NO		
		$\boxtimes$		Food Safety	
				Local School Wellness Policy	
				Competitive Foods	
1	1	. –	_		

	$\boxtimes$		Other			
	Findin	ails:				
	1)	1) The SFA was not incompliance with non-program food revenues				
	2)		There were products that were open and out of boxes that were not dated.			
$\boxtimes$	D. Ci	vil Righ	ts			
	Finding(s) Details:					