

## STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

**School Food Authority Name:** Harding County School District

**Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date):** April 25, 2019

**Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority:** May 25, 2019

**Date review summary was publicly posted:** August 30, 2019

---

The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings provided to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).

### General Program Participation

1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)

- School Breakfast Program
- National School Lunch Program
- Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program
- Afterschool Snack
- Special Milk Program
- Seamless Summer Option

2. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)

- Community Eligibility Provision
- Special Provision 1
- Special Provision 2
- Special Provision 3

### Review Findings

3. Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?

- Yes       No

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

YES	NO	REVIEW FINDINGS			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>A. Program Access and Reimbursement</b>			
		<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>		
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Certification and Benefit Issuance	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Verification	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Meal Counting and Claiming	
Finding(s) Details:					
1) The SFA did not complete the confirmation reviews for the application selected for Verification.					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality</b>			
		<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>		
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Meal Components and Quantities	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Offer versus Serve	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis	
Finding(s) Details:					
1) Grade Group K-8 & 9-12- For the week of menu review, the lunch menu did not meet the minimum weekly requirement for the beans/legumes vegetable subgroup, the minimum daily and weekly requirements for grain, and the whole grain-rich requirement.					
2) On March 7, 2019 the menu was incomplete for grades K-12, no grain was offered with the lunch menu. There were 122 meals served this day that will be disallowed.					
3) Grade Group 9-12- For the week of menu review, the lunch menu did not meet the minimum daily requirement for meat/meat alternate. A meat/meat alternate was offered daily, however the minimum required portion size was not met.					
4) The SFA is not maintaining Child Nutrition labels or Product Formulation Statements for all processed menu items. The following menu items were missing proper documentation: Chicken Tenders					
5) There was no meal signage indicating the requirements for a complete meal under OVS.					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>C. School Nutrition Environment</b>			
		<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>		
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Food Safety	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Local School Wellness Policy	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Competitive Foods	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other			
Finding(s) Details:					
1) The wellness policy has not been updated to reflect the new requirements published in 2016.					

		2) The SFA does not have documentation on file from the distributor for products that are out of compliance with the Buy American policy. (pineapple and mandarin oranges)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>D. Civil Rights</b>
		Finding(s) Details: 1) The SFA does not have a civil right complaint process in place that ensures that all complaints will be forwarded to a USDA agency.