

800 Governors Drive Pierre, SD 57501-2235 T 605.773.3413 F 605.773.6846 www.doe.sd.gov

Email: DOE.SchoolLunch@state.sd.us

STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

School Food Authority Name: <u>John Paul II</u>						
Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): April 2, 2019						
Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority: May 2, 2019 Date review summary was publicly posted: August 30, 2019						
						compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional qu nutrition environment (including food safety, local schoo
General Program Participation						
1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School	Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)					
 □ School Breakfast Program ⋈ National School Lunch Program □ Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program □ Afterschool Snack □ Special Milk Program □ Seamless Summer Option 						
2. Does the School Food Authority operate under a	any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)					
 □ Community Eligibility Provision □ Special Provision 1 □ Special Provision 2 □ Special Provision 3 						
Review Findings						
 Were any findings identified during the review o ✓ Yes ✓ No 	of this School Food Authority?					

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

YES	NO	REVIEW FINDINGS		
\boxtimes		A. Program Access and Reimbursement		
		YES	NO	
		\boxtimes		Certification and Benefit Issuance
		\boxtimes		Verification
		\boxtimes		Meal Counting and Claiming
		Findin	g(s) Det	ails:
		1)	The D	Direct Certification Notification letter did not include all required i.
		2)		e was one application that was annualized when it should have been mined based on the stated pay frequency.
		3) Notification of Verification results was not maintained on file.		
		4)		e was an overclaim of 139 paid meals and an underclaim of 1
\boxtimes		B. M	eal Patt	terns and Nutritional Quality
		YES	NO	
		\boxtimes		Meal Components and Quantities
		\boxtimes		Offer versus Serve
				Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis
		Finding	g(s) Det	
		1)	For th	ne week of menu review, the lunch menu did not meet the daily
			minir	num vegetable requirement or the weekly minimum requirement
			for th	ne red/orange vegetable sub-group.
		2)		Signage for was not posted for lunch or for offer vs. serve
		requirements.		
		C. School Nutrition Environment		
		YES	NO	
		\boxtimes		Food Safety
				Local School Wellness Policy
				Competitive Foods
		\boxtimes		Other
		Finding(s) Details:		
		1)		FA did not provide documentation to substantiate expenses charged
			to the	e food service account.
		2)		ponsor did not have documentation on file from the distributor for
			food	items that did not comply with the Buy American provision.
		3)	There	e were food items in the storage areas that were not dated.
		4)	SFSP	outreach was not provided to households
\boxtimes		D. Civil Rights		
		Finding(s) Details:		

1)	Documentation of civil rights training was not provided for all food service staff. The civil rights training certificate was not available for each
	food service employee.