

800 Governors Drive Pierre, SD 57501-2235 T 605.773.3413 F 605.773.6846 www.doe.sd.gov

Email: DOE.SchoolLunch@state.sd.us

STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

School F	ood Authority Name: St. Martins Lutheran School						
Date of A	Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): April 30, 2019						
Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority: May 23, 2019							
Date rev	Date review summary was publicly posted: August 30, 2019						
compliar nutrition civil right	ew summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's nee with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to ts, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings it to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).						
General	Program Participation						
1.	What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)						
	 □ School Breakfast Program ☑ National School Lunch Program □ Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program □ Afterschool Snack □ Special Milk Program □ Seamless Summer Option 						
2.	Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)						
	 □ Community Eligibility Provision □ Special Provision 1 □ Special Provision 2 □ Special Provision 3 						
Review F	Findings						
3.	Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority? ✓ Yes ✓ No						

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

YES	NO			REVIEW FINDINGS
\boxtimes		A. Program Access and Reimbursement		
		YES	NO	
		\boxtimes		Certification and Benefit Issuance
		\boxtimes		Verification
			\boxtimes	Meal Counting and Claiming
		Finding	g(s) Det	ails:
		1)	The S	FA annualized income when it should have been determined at its
			state	d frequency.
		_,		
		2)		FA did not use the required verification notification letter nor did
			tney	create their own letter with the required content.
\boxtimes		B. M	eal Pati	terns and Nutritional Quality
		YES	NO	,
		\boxtimes		Meal Components and Quantities
			\boxtimes	Offer versus Serve
			$\overline{\boxtimes}$	Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis
		Finding	g(s) Det	
		1)		ne day of review the lunch menu did not provide the minimum
				cable portion required for the K-8 grade group. A hash brown was
			_	d. This only contributed 1/2 cup. The menu was short 1/4 cup
				rable.
			J	
\boxtimes		C. School Nutrition Environment		
		YES	NO	
		\boxtimes		Food Safety
			\boxtimes	Local School Wellness Policy
			\boxtimes	Competitive Foods
		\boxtimes		Other
		Finding(s) Details:		
		1)	The s	ponsor incorrectly assessed compliance with non-program food
			rever	nue requirements.
			_, _	
		2)		chool Nutrition Program Director did not meet the training
			-	rements and did not have scheduled/planned trainings for the
				inder of the school year to meet annual training requirements. In
			addit	ion, the school nutrition staff did not meet the training
				rements and did not have schoduled (planned trainings for the
			requi	rements and did not have scheduled/planned trainings for the
			requi	rements and did not have scheduled/planned trainings for the inder of the school year to meet annual training requirements.
		3)	requi rema	inder of the school year to meet annual training requirements.
		3)	requi rema The f	inder of the school year to meet annual training requirements. ood service director has not completed any food safety training. The
		3)	requi rema The f state	inder of the school year to meet annual training requirements.
		·	requi rema The f state every	inder of the school year to meet annual training requirements. ood service director has not completed any food safety training. The requires that all director's complete food safety training at least five years.
		3)	requirema The f state every	inder of the school year to meet annual training requirements. ood service director has not completed any food safety training. The requires that all director's complete food safety training at least view years. FA does not maintain a consolidated tracker for documentation of
		·	requirema The f state every	inder of the school year to meet annual training requirements. ood service director has not completed any food safety training. The requires that all director's complete food safety training at least five years.

	5) The SFA is not implementing all aspects of the food safety plan. They are not completing the weekly food safety checklist.		
	 Storage violations were observed on-site. The SFA had food that was opened and not dated with date opened. 		
	7) The SFA has not performed SFSP outreach.		
\boxtimes	D. Civil Rights		
	Finding(s) Details:		
	1) The SFA does not have a civil right complaint process in place that		
	ensures that all complaints will be forwarded to a USDA agency.		