

STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

School Food Authority Name: Gayville-Volin School District

Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): December 2, 2016

Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority: May 17, 2017

Date review summary was publicly posted: 7/7/2017

The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings provided to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).

General Program Participation

1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)

- School Breakfast Program
- National School Lunch Program
- Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program
- Afterschool Snack
- Special Milk Program
- Seamless Summer Option

2. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)

- Community Eligibility Provision
- Special Provision 1
- Special Provision 2
- Special Provision 3

Review Findings

3. Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?

- Yes No

This institution is an equal opportunity provider.

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

YES	NO	REVIEW FINDINGS		
X	<input type="checkbox"/>	A. Program Access and Reimbursement		
		YES	NO	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	X	Certification and Benefit Issuance
		<input type="checkbox"/>	X	Verification
		X	<input type="checkbox"/>	Meal Counting and Claiming
Finding(s) Details: 1) Finding 1: Onsite 201: Verifying official incorrect. 2) Finding 2: Offsite 316: 37 Reduced Price students claimed on 10/4/16. Maximum listed is 36.				
X	<input type="checkbox"/>	B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality		
		YES	NO	
		X	<input type="checkbox"/>	Meal Components and Quantities
		<input type="checkbox"/>	X	Offer versus Serve
		<input type="checkbox"/>	X	Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis
Finding(s) Details: 1) Finding 3: Onsite 410: Rolls recipe not amended to show use of whole grain(10/18). 2) Finding 4: Onsite 410: Pizza dough recipe not amended to show use of whole grain(10/20). 3) Finding 5: Onsite 410: Missing acceptable crediting documentation for coleslaw (10/20). 4) Finding 6: Onsite 410: 6 oz. yogurt offered as MA. This size does not credit as 2 MA for HS grade group.				
X	<input type="checkbox"/>	C. School Nutrition Environment		
		YES	NO	
		X	<input type="checkbox"/>	Food Safety
		X	<input type="checkbox"/>	Local School Wellness Policy
		<input type="checkbox"/>	X	Competitive Foods
X	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other		
Finding(s) Details: 1) Finding 16: Onsite 1406: Food Safety Checklist is completed monthly. Food Safety Plan indicates it will be done weekly. 2) Finding 17: Onsite 1410: Canned pineapple found from Thailand. 3) Finding 7: Onsite 1000: Milk fat % in wellness policy does not meet requirements for NSLP or Smart Snacks, which require only 1% white, or fat-free flavored/unflavored allowable in the programs. 4) Finding 8: Onsite 1000: Local Wellness Policy does not identify stance on marketing only foods and beverages that meet the lunch nutrition standards under 210.11 LWP Final Rule allows marketing only foods/beverages, on campus during school day, that meet the lunch nutrition standards. 5) Finding 9: Onsite 1001: Local Wellness Policy is posted to website but general public is not notified of policy.				

		<p>6) Finding 10: Offsite 1002: Local Wellness Policy reviewed every 5 years – dated with last revision. Local Wellness Policy must be reviewed a minimum of every 3 years. Documentation must be kept to demonstrate the review date and updates made to policy.</p> <p>7) Finding 11: Offsite 1005: Local Wellness Policy Assessment not completed/found on webpage. LWP must be accessed every three years to be in compliance.</p> <p>8) Finding 12: Offsite 1006: Local Wellness Policy Assessment not completed, therefore, public outreach of assessment not available. Local Wellness Policy Assessment must be made available to public.</p> <p>9) Findings 13-15: Offsite 1216, 18, 21: Tracking of Professional Standards has not yet been completed for SY16-17 for the three individuals needed.</p> <p>10) Finding 18: 2000: Milk was provided in 8 oz. size cups to PK students. Cannot fill with the proper 8 oz. Cups need to be a larger size to hold the entire 8 oz. serving of milk.</p>
□	X	<p>D. Civil Rights</p> <p>Finding(s) Details:</p>