

Email: DOE.SchoolLunch@state.sd.us

STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

School I	Food Authority Name: Waubay School District
Date of	Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): 3/29/17
Date re	view results were provided to the School Food Authority: <u>4/11/17</u>
Date re	view summary was publicly posted: 11/7/17
complia nutritio civil righ	iew summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's ince with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school in environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to ints, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings in the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).
Genera	l Program Participation
1.	What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)
	XX School Breakfast Program XX National School Lunch Program XX Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program Afterschool Snack Special Milk Program Seamless Summer Option
2.	Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)
	□ Community Eligibility Provision □ Special Provision 1 □ Special Provision 2 □ Special Provision 3
Review	Findings
3.	Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?



Email: DOE.SchoolLunch@state.sd.us

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

YES	NO			REVIEW FINDINGS
XX		A. Pi	rogram	Access and Reimbursement
		YES	NO	
		Х		Certification and Benefit Issuance
			Х	Verification
			Х	Meal Counting and Claiming
		Findin	g(s) Det	
		1)		ng 1: Onsite 126: Multiple free and reduced applications were
				mined incorrectly.
			(One application with one student was determined as reduced
				and should have been free.
			(One application with four students was determined as free and
				should have been reduced.
XX		B. N	leal Pat	terns and Nutritional Quality
		YES	NO	
		Х		Meal Components and Quantities
		Х		Offer versus Serve
		Х		Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis
		Findin	g(s) Det	
		1)		SED Finding 2a: Onsite 410 and Offsite 602: Planned menu quantities
			do no	ot meet meal pattern requirements.
			(More than 50% of the fruit offerings at breakfast for the week
				were in the form of juice. On 3/16/17 juice was the only fruit
				served. Fruit flavored yogurt does not count toward the fruit
				meal component. Yogurt is credited as a Meat/Meat Alternate
				(M/MA).
			(On Monday 3/13/17 at lunch the minimum M/MA was not
				served for grade groups K-5 and 9-12.
			(The minimum quantity of M/MA for the 9-12 grade group was
				not met over the course of the week.
			(On Tuesday 3/14/17 soup was served at lunch. The soup
				contains creditable vegetables and grains. It should be listed in
				both of these sections on the production record.
			(Multiple forms of potatoes (Tri-Tators, French fries, mashed) are
				served throughout the week and on the day of review. They are
				listed under the Grains section of the production record. Potatoes are credited as a starchy vegetable and should be listed
				under the vegetable section of the production record.
			,	
			(The minimum quantity of Red/Orange vegetable for the 9-12
			Drod	grade group (1 ¼ cups) is not met for the week. uction records and labels were submitted for the week of 4/3/17-
				L7. This week had 1 day with a missing component. Production
				ds were requested for the entire review period (2/1/17-4/28/17). A
				ent analysis was completed on a new week of production records.
				CANS office received guidance that a nutrient analysis must be
				pleted on a served week of menus. The following were observed as
			-	of compliance on the first nutrient analysis:
	1		out	a comphance on the mot nutrient analysis.



Email: <u>DOE.SchoolLunch@state.sd.us</u>

			C	One item containing trans fats was served
				Pioneer Gravy Mix
			C	Breakfast exceeded calories by an average of 28 calories over
				the course of the week
			C	Breakfast exceeded sodium by an average of 92mg over the
				course of the week.
			C	K-5 Lunch exceeded calories by an average of 78 calories over
				the course of the week.
			C	K-5 Lunch exceeded sodium by an average of 65mg over the
				course of the week.
			C	6-8 Lunch exceeded calories by an average of 84 calories over
				the course of the week.
			C	, 5
				the course of the week.
			C	, 8
				course of the week.
		2)		ng 2b: Production records and labels were submitted as requested
				the school year resumed for 8/21 – 8/25. A nutrient analysis was
			-	leted on these production records. The following was observed as
				f compliance on the nutrient analysis:
			C	,
				over the course of the week.
			C	K-5 Lunch exceeded calories by an average of 18 calories over the course of the week.
			_	
			C	9-12 Lunch exceeded sodium by an average of 47mg over the course of the week.
		3)	Eindi	ng 3: Onsite 404 & 502: There is no signage at breakfast explaining
		3)		a reimbursable meal is. Signage at breakfast and lunch must include
				equirement to select at least ½ cup fruit and/or vegetable.
				again ement to select at least /2 cap mait and/ of vegetable.
XX	П	C. Sc	hool Nu	itrition Environment
		YES	NO	
		Х		Food Safety
		Х		Local School Wellness Policy
			Х	Competitive Foods
		Х		Other
		Finding	g(s) Det	ails:
		1)		ng 5: Offsite 1001: The Local Wellness Policy is not posted on the
			SFA's	website.
		2)	Findi	ng 6: Offsite 1000-1006: The Local Wellness Policy does not meet
			the m	ninimum requirements as specified in 7 CFR 210.31 and 220.7.
		3)	Findi	ng 7: Offsite 1203/Onsite 1214: The Food Service Director did not
			meet	the hiring requirements per 7 CFR 210.30(b) and a request to hire
			was r	ot submitted to the CANS office prior to her being hired.
		4)		ng 8: Onsite 1406: The SFA's written food safety plan was not being
			-	mented for the following reasons:
				anitizing logs are being kept weekly, but are required to be daily
				hermometer calibration logs must have the calibration value
			r	ecorded



Email: DOE.SchoolLunch@state.sd.us

		 The refrigerator used for the leftover fruits/vegetables for the Fresh
		Fruit and Vegetable Program must have a refrigeration log.
	5)	Finding 9: Onsite 1410: During the on-site review, several items were
		found to be non-compliant with the Buy American provision (7 CFR
		210.21(d)) because they were from countries other than the USA.
	6)	Finding 10: Offsite 1601: The SFA did not notify eligible households of the
		availability and location of free meals for students via the Summer Food
		Service Program. Notifying families of the availability of free meals during
		the summer is a requirement of the National School Lunch Program.
XX	D. Civ	il Rights
	Finding	(s) Details:
	1)	Finding 4: Onsite 810: The Nondiscrimination Statement is not posted on
		the SFA website. FNS Instruction 113-1: Nondiscrimination Statement. All
		information materials and sources, including Web sites, used by FNS,
		information materials and sources, including Web sites, used by FNS,
		information materials and sources, including Web sites, used by FNS, State agencies, local agencies, or other subrecipients to inform the public
		information materials and sources, including Web sites, used by FNS, State agencies, local agencies, or other subrecipients to inform the public about FNS programs must contain a nondiscrimination statement. It is
		information materials and sources, including Web sites, used by FNS, State agencies, local agencies, or other subrecipients to inform the public about FNS programs must contain a nondiscrimination statement. It is not required that the nondiscrimination statement be included on every