**South Dakota**

2025 Part C Results-Driven Accountability Matrix

**Results-Driven Accountability Percentage and Determination** (1)

| **Percentage (%)** | **Determination** |
| --- | --- |
| 87.50% | Meets Requirements |

**Results and Compliance Overall Scoring**

| **Section** | **Total Points Available** | **Points Earned** | **Score (%)** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Results** | 8 | 6 | 75.00% |
| **Compliance** | 16 | 16 | 100.00% |

**2025 Part C Results Matrix**

**I. Data Quality**

**(a) Data Completeness: The percent of children included in your State’s 2023 Outcomes Data (Indicator C3)**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Number of Children Reported in Indicator C3 (i.e., outcome data) | 690 |
| Number of Children Reported Exiting in 618 Data (i.e., 618 exiting data) | 1,140 |
| Percentage of Children Exiting who are Included in Outcome Data (%) | 60.53 |
| **Data Completeness Score** (please see Appendix A for a detailed description of this calculation) | 1 |

**(b) Data Anomalies: Anomalies in your State’s FFY 2023 Outcomes Data**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Data Anomalies Score** (please see Appendix B for a detailed description of this calculation) | 2 |

**II. Child Performance**

**(a) Data Comparison: Comparing your State’s 2023 Outcomes Data to other States’ 2023 Outcomes Data**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Data Comparison Score** (please see Appendix C for a detailed description of this calculation) | 2 |

**(b) Performance Change Over Time: Comparing your State’s FFY 2023 data to your State’s FFY 2022 data**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Performance Change Score** (please see Appendix D for a detailed description of this calculation) | 1 |

| **Summary Statement Performance** | **Outcome A: Positive Social Relationships SS1 (%)** | **Outcome A: Positive Social Relationships SS2 (%)** | **Outcome B: Knowledge and Skills SS1 (%)** | **Outcome B: Knowledge and Skills SS2 (%)** | **Outcome C: Actions to Meet Needs SS1 (%)** | **Outcome C: Actions to Meet Needs SS2 (%)** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **FFY 2023** | 51.22% | 83.48% | 81.46% | 62.46% | 86.72% | 73.77% |
| **FFY 2022** | 45.83% | 76.17% | 76.94% | 61.14% | 84.15% | 71.50% |

**(1) For a detailed explanation of how the Compliance Score, Results Score, and the Results-Driven Accountability Percentage and Determination were calculated, review "How the Department Made Determinations under Section 616(d) of the *Individuals with Disabilities Education Act* in 2025: Part C."**

**2025 Part C Compliance Matrix**

| **Part C Compliance Indicator** (2) | **Performance (%)** | **Full Correction of Findings of Noncompliance Identified in FFY 2022** (3) | **Score** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Indicator 1: Timely service provision** | 100.00% | N/A | 2 |
| **Indicator 7: 45-day timeline** | 100.00% | N/A | 2 |
| **Indicator 8A: Timely transition plan** | 100.00% | N/A | 2 |
| **Indicator 8B: Transition notification** | 100.00% | N/A | 2 |
| **Indicator 8C: Timely transition conference** | 100.00% | N/A | 2 |
| **Indicator 12: General Supervision** | 100.00% | N/A | 2 |
| **Timely and Accurate State-Reported Data** | 100.00% |  | 2 |
| **Timely State Complaint Decisions** | N/A |  | N/A |
| **Timely Due Process Hearing Decisions** | N/A |  | N/A |
| **Longstanding Noncompliance** |  |  | 2 |
| **Programmatic Specific Conditions** | None |  |  |
| **Uncorrected identified noncompliance** | None |  |  |

**(2) The complete language for each indicator is located in the Part C SPP/APR Indicator Measurement Table at:**

[**https://sites.ed.gov/idea/files/FFY2023-Part-C-SPP-APR-Reformatted-Measurement-Table.pdf**](https://sites.ed.gov/idea/files/FFY2023-Part-C-SPP-APR-Reformatted-Measurement-Table.pdf)

**(3) This column reflects full correction, which is factored into the scoring only when the compliance data are >=90% and <95% for an indicator.**

**Appendix A**

**I. (a) Data Completeness:**

**The Percent of Children Included in your State's 2023 Outcomes Data (Indicator C3)**

Data completeness was calculated using the total number of Part C children who were included in your State’s FFY 2023 Outcomes Data (C3) and the total number of children your State reported in its FFY 2023 IDEA Section 618 data. A percentage for your State was computed by dividing the number of children reported in your State’s Indicator C3 data by the number of children your State reported exited during FFY 2023 in the State’s FFY 2023 IDEA Section 618 Exit Data.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Data Completeness Score** | **Percent of Part C Children included in Outcomes Data (C3) and 618 Data** |
| **0** | **Lower than 34%** |
| **1** | **34% through 64%** |
| **2** | **65% and above** |

**Appendix B**

**I. (b) Data Quality:**

**Anomalies in Your State's FFY 2023 Outcomes Data**

This score represents a summary of the data anomalies in the FFY 2023 Indicator 3 Outcomes Data reported by your State. Publicly available data for the preceding four years reported by and across all States for each of 15 progress categories under Indicator 3 (in the FFY 2019 – FFY 2022 APRs) were used to determine an expected range of responses for each progress category under Outcomes A, B, and C. For each of the 15 progress categories, a mean was calculated using the publicly available data and a lower and upper scoring percentage was set 1 standard deviation above and below the mean for category a, and 2 standard deviations above and below the mean for categories b through e (numbers are shown as rounded for display purposes, and values are based on data for States with summary statement denominator greater than 199 exiters). In any case where the low scoring percentage set from 1 or 2 standard deviations below the mean resulted in a negative number, the low scoring percentage is equal to 0.

If your State's FFY 2023 data reported in a progress category fell below the calculated "low percentage" or above the "high percentage" for that progress category for all States, the data in that particular category are statistically improbable outliers and considered an anomaly for that progress category. If your State’s data in a particular progress category was identified as an anomaly, the State received a 0 for that category. A percentage that is equal to or between the low percentage and high percentage for each progress category received 1 point. A State could receive a total number of points between 0 and 15. Thus, a point total of 0 indicates that all 15 progress categories contained data anomalies and a point total of 15 indicates that there were no data anomalies in all 15 progress categories in the State's data. An overall data anomaly score of 0, 1, or 2 is based on the total points awarded.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Outcome A** | **Positive Social Relationships** |
| **Outcome B** | **Knowledge and Skills** |
| **Outcome C** | **Actions to Meet Needs** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Category a** | **Percent of infants and toddlers who did not improve functioning** |
| **Category b** | **Percent of infants and toddlers who improved functioning but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers** |
| **Category c** | **Percent of infants and toddlers who improved functioning to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it** |
| **Category d** | **Percent of infants and toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers** |
| **Category e** | **Percent of infants and toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers** |

**Expected Range of Responses for Each Outcome and Category, FFY 2023**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Outcome\ Category** | **Mean** | **StDev** | **-1SD** | **+1SD** |
| **Outcome A\ Category a** | 1.52 | 3.25 | -1.74 | 4.77 |
| **Outcome B\ Category a** | 1.34 | 2.98 | -1.64 | 4.32 |
| **Outcome C\ Category a** | 1.25 | 2.62 | -1.37 | 3.87 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Outcome\ Category** | **Mean** | **StDev** | **-2SD** | **+2SD** |
| **Outcome A\ Category b** | 24.44 | 8.87 | 6.69 | 42.19 |
| **Outcome A\ Category c** | 21.76 | 13.64 | -5.52 | 49.04 |
| **Outcome A\ Category d** | 26.56 | 9.69 | 7.17 | 45.94 |
| **Outcome A\ Category e** | 25.72 | 15.93 | -6.14 | 57.59 |
| **Outcome B\ Category b** | 26.16 | 9.47 | 7.23 | 45.1 |
| **Outcome B\ Category c** | 30.12 | 12.97 | 4.17 | 56.07 |
| **Outcome B\ Category d** | 30.25 | 8.17 | 13.92 | 46.59 |
| **Outcome B\ Category e** | 12.12 | 8.46 | -4.79 | 29.04 |
| **Outcome C\ Category b** | 21.94 | 9.15 | 3.64 | 40.24 |
| **Outcome C\ Category c** | 23.99 | 13.89 | -3.8 | 51.77 |
| **Outcome C\ Category d** | 32.49 | 8.51 | 15.48 | 49.51 |
| **Outcome C\ Category e** | 20.33 | 14.99 | -9.66 | 50.31 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Data Anomalies Score** | **Total Points Received in All Progress Areas** |
| 0 | 0 through 9 points |
| 1 | 10 through 12 points |
| 2 | 13 through 15 points |

**Anomalies in Your State’s Outcomes Data FFY 2023**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Number of Infants and Toddlers with IFSP’s Assessed in your State** | **690** |

| **Outcome A — Positive Social Relationships** | **Category a** | **Category b** | **Category c** | **Category d** | **Category e** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **State Performance** | 28 | 72 | 14 | 91 | 485 |
| **Performance (%)** | 4.06% | 10.43% | 2.03% | 13.19% | 70.29% |
| **Scores** | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

| **Outcome B — Knowledge and Skills** | **Category a** | **Category b** | **Category c** | **Category d** | **Category e** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **State Performance** | 8 | 68 | 183 | 151 | 280 |
| **Performance (%)** | 1.16% | 9.86% | 26.52% | 21.88% | 40.58% |
| **Scores** | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

| **Outcome C — Actions to Meet Needs** | **Category a** | **Category b** | **Category c** | **Category d** | **Category e** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **State Performance** | 3 | 44 | 134 | 173 | 336 |
| **Performance (%)** | 0.43% | 6.38% | 19.42% | 25.07% | 48.70% |
| **Scores** | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

|  | **Total Score** |
| --- | --- |
| **Outcome A** | 4 |
| **Outcome B** | 4 |
| **Outcome C** | 5 |
| **Outcomes A-C** | 13 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Data Anomalies Score** | 2 |

**Appendix C**

**II. (a) Data Comparison:**

**Comparing Your State’s 2023 Outcomes Data to Other States’ 2023 Outcome Data**

This score represents how your State's FFY 2023 Outcomes data compares to other States' FFY 2023 Outcomes Data. Your State received a score for the distribution of the 6 Summary Statements for your State compared to the distribution of the 6 Summary Statements in all other States. The 10th and 90th percentile for each of the 6 Summary Statements was identified and used to assign points to performance outcome data for each Summary Statement (values are based on data for States with a summary statement denominator greater than 199 exiters). Each Summary Statement outcome was assigned 0, 1, or 2 points. If your State's Summary Statement value fell at or below the 10th percentile, that Summary Statement was assigned 0 points. If your State's Summary Statement value fell between the 10th and 90th percentile, the Summary Statement was assigned 1 point, and if your State's Summary Statement value fell at or above the 90th percentile the Summary Statement was assigned 2 points. The points were added up across the 6 Summary Statements. A State can receive a total number of points between 0 and 12, with 0 points indicating all 6 Summary Statement values were at or below the 10th percentile and 12 points indicating all 6 Summary Statements were at or above the 90th percentile. An overall comparison Summary Statement score of 0, 1, or 2 was based on the total points awarded.

*Summary Statement 1: Of those infants and toddlers who entered or exited early intervention below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 3 years of age or exited the program.*

*Summary Statement 2: The percent of infants and toddlers who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned 3 years of age or exited the program.*

**Scoring Percentages for the 10th and 90th Percentile for Each Outcome and Summary Statement, FFY 2023**

| **Percentiles** | **Outcome A SS1** | **Outcome A SS2** | **Outcome B SS1** | **Outcome B SS2** | **Outcome C SS1** | **Outcome C SS2** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **10** | 46.08% | 34.56% | 54.67% | 27.46% | 53.10% | 33.55% |
| **90** | 80.98% | 70.42% | 82.41% | 58.27% | 84.63% | 73.68% |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Data Comparison Score** | **Total Points Received Across SS1 and SS2** |
| **0** | 0 through 4 points |
| **1** | 5 through 8 points |
| **2** | 9 through 12 points |

**Your State’s Summary Statement Performance FFY 2023**

| **Summary Statement (SS)** | **Outcome A: Positive Social Relationships SS1** | **Outcome A: Positive Social Relationships SS2** | **Outcome B: Knowledge and Skills SS1** | **Outcome B: Knowledge and Skills SS2** | **Outcome C: Actions to meet needs SS1** | **Outcome C: Actions to meet needs SS2** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Performance (%)** | 51.22% | 83.48% | 81.46% | 62.46% | 86.72% | 73.77% |
| **Points** | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Total Points Across SS1 and SS2** | 10 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Your State’s Data Comparison Score** | 2 |

**Appendix D**

**II. (b) Performance Change Over Time:**

**Comparing your State’s FFY 2023 data to your State’s FFY 2022 data**

The Summary Statement percentages in each Outcomes Area from the previous year’s reporting (FFY 2022) is compared to the current year (FFY 2023) using the test of proportional difference to determine whether there is a statistically significant (or meaningful) growth or decline in child achievement based upon a significance level of p<=.05. The data in each Outcome Area is assigned a value of 0 if there was a statistically significant decrease from one year to the next, a value of 1 if there was no significant change, and a value of 2 if there was a statistically significant increase across the years. The scores from all 6 Outcome Areas are totaled, resulting in a score from 0 – 12. The Overall Performance Change Score for this results element of ‘0’, ‘1’, or ‘2’ for each State is based on the total points awarded. Where OSEP has approved a State’s reestablishment of its Indicator C3 Outcome Area baseline data the State received a score of ‘N/A’ for this element.

**Test of Proportional Difference Calculation Overview**

The summary statement percentages from the previous year’s reporting were compared to the current year using an accepted formula (test of proportional difference) to determine whether the difference between the two percentages is statistically significant (or meaningful), based upon a significance level of p<=.05. The statistical test has several steps. All values are shown as rounded for display purposes.

Step 1: Compute the difference between the FFY 2023 and FFY 2022 summary statements.

e.g., C3A FFY2023% - C3A FFY2022% = Difference in proportions

Step 2: Compute the standard error of the difference in proportions using the following formula which takes into account the value of the summary statement from both years and the number of children that the summary statement is based on

Sqrt[([FFY2022% \* (1-FFY2022%)] / FFY2022N) + ([FFY2023% \* (1-FFY2023%)] / FFY2023N)] = Standard Error of Difference in Proportions

Step 3: The difference in proportions is then divided by the standard error of the difference to compute a z score.

Difference in proportions /standard error of the difference in proportions = z score

Step 4: The statistical significance of the z score is located within a table and the *p* value is determined.

Step 5: The difference in proportions is coded as statistically significant if the *p* value is less than or equal to .05.

Step 6: Information about the statistical significance of the change and the direction of the change are combined to arrive at a score for the summary statement using the following criteria

0 = statistically significant decrease from FFY 2022 to FFY 2023

1 = No statistically significant change

2= statistically significant increase from FFY 2022 to FFY 2023

Step 7: The score for each summary statement and outcome is summed to create a total score with a minimum of 0 and a maximum of 12. The score for the test of proportional difference is assigned a score for the Indicator 3 Overall Performance Change Score based on the following cut points:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Indicator 3 Overall Performance Change Score** | **Cut Points for Change Over Time in Summary Statements Total Score** |
| **0** | Lowest score through 3 |
| **1** | 4 through 7 |
| **2** | 8 through highest |

| **Summary Statement/ Child Outcome** | **FFY 2022 N** | **FFY 2022 Summary Statement (%)** | **FFY 2023 N** | **FFY 2023 Summary Statement (%)** | **Difference between Percentages (%)** | **Std Error** | **z value** | **p-value** | **p<=.05** | **Score:**  **0 = significant decrease;**  **1 = no significant change;**  **2 = significant increase** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **SS1/Outcome A: Positive Social Relationships** | 216 | 45.83% | 205 | 51.22% | 5.39 | 0.0487 | 1.1068 | 0.2684 | NO | 1 |
| **SS1/Outcome B: Knowledge and Skills** | 464 | 76.94% | 410 | 81.46% | 4.52 | 0.0274 | 1.6511 | 0.0987 | NO | 1 |
| **SS1/Outcome C: Actions to meet needs** | 347 | 84.15% | 354 | 86.72% | 2.57 | 0.0266 | 0.9660 | 0.334 | NO | 1 |
| **SS2/Outcome A: Positive Social Relationships** | 579 | 76.17% | 690 | 83.48% | 7.31 | 0.0227 | 3.2272 | 0.0013 | YES | 2 |
| **SS2/Outcome B: Knowledge and Skills** | 579 | 61.14% | 690 | 62.46% | 1.32 | 0.0274 | 0.4834 | 0.6288 | NO | 1 |
| **SS2/Outcome C: Actions to meet needs** | 579 | 71.50% | 690 | 73.77% | 2.27 | 0.0251 | 0.9009 | 0.3676 | NO | 1 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Total Points Across SS1 and SS2** | **7** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Your State’s Performance Change Score** | **1** |

## Data Rubric

**South Dakota**

**FFY 2023 APR** (1)

**Part C Timely and Accurate Data -- SPP/APR Data**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **APR Indicator** | **Valid and Reliable** | **Total** |
| **1** | 1 | 1 |
| **2** | 1 | 1 |
| **3** | 1 | 1 |
| **4** | 1 | 1 |
| **5** | 1 | 1 |
| **6** | 1 | 1 |
| **7** | 1 | 1 |
| **8A** | 1 | 1 |
| **8B** | 1 | 1 |
| **8C** | 1 | 1 |
| **9** | 1 | 1 |
| **10** | 1 | 1 |
| **11** | 1 | 1 |
| **12** | 1 | 1 |

**APR Score Calculation**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Subtotal** | 14 |
| **Timely Submission Points** - If the FFY 2023 APR was submitted on-time, place the number 5 in the cell on the right. | 5 |
| **Grand Total** - (Sum of Subtotal and Timely Submission Points) = | 19 |

**(1) In the SPP/APR Data table, where there is an N/A in the Valid and Reliable column, the Total column will display a 0. This is a change from prior years in display only; all calculation methods are unchanged. An N/A does not negatively affect a State's score; this is because 1 point is subtracted from the Denominator in the Indicator Calculation table for each cell marked as N/A in the SPP/APR Data table.**

**618 Data** (2)

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Table** | **Timely** | **Complete Data** | **Passed Edit Check** | **Total** |
| **Child Count/Settings Due Date: 7/31/24** | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| **Exiting Due Date: 3/5/25** | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| **Dispute Resolution Due Date: 11/13/24** | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |

**618 Score Calculation**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Subtotal** | 9 |
| **Grand Total** (Subtotal X 2.11111111) = | 19.00 |

**Indicator Calculation**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| A. APR Grand Total | 19 |
| B. 618 Grand Total | 19.00 |
| C. APR Grand Total (A) + 618 Grand Total (B) = | 38.00 |
| Total N/A Points in APR Data Table Subtracted from Denominator | 0 |
| Total N/A Points in 618 Data Table Subtracted from Denominator | 0.00 |
| **Denominator** | 38.00 |
| D. Subtotal (C divided by Denominator) (3) = | 1.0000 |
| E. Indicator Score (Subtotal D x 100) = | 100.00 |

**(2) In the 618 Data table, when calculating the value in the Total column, any N/As in the Timely, Complete Data, or Passed Edit Checks columns are treated as a ‘0’. An N/A does not negatively affect a State's score; this is because 2.11111111 points are subtracted from the Denominator in the Indicator Calculation table for each cell marked as N/A in the 618 Data table.**

**(3) Note that any cell marked as N/A in the APR Data Table will decrease the denominator by 1, and any cell marked as N/A in the 618 Data Table will decrease the denominator by 2.11111111.**

**APR and 618 -Timely and Accurate State Reported Data**

**DATE: February 2025 Submission**

**SPP/APR Data**

**1) Valid and Reliable Data** - Data provided are from the correct time period, are consistent with 618 (when appropriate) and the measurement and are consistent with previous indicator data (unless explained).

**Part C 618 Data**

**1) Timely** – A State will receive one point if it submits all ED*Facts* files or the entire EMAPS survey associated with the IDEA Section 618 data collection to ED by the initial due date for that collection (as described in the table below).

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **618 Data Collection** | **ED*Facts* Files/ EMAPS Survey** | **Due Date** |
| Part C Child Count and Setting | Part C Child Count and Settings in EMAPS | 7/31/2024 |
| Part C Exiting | FS901 | 3/5/2025 |
| Part C Dispute Resolution | Part C Dispute Resolution Survey in EMAPS | 11/13/2024 |

**2) Complete Data** – A State will receive one point if it submits data for all data elements, subtotals, totals as well as responses to all questions associated with a specific data collection by the initial due date. No data is reported as missing. No placeholder data is submitted. State-level data include data from all districts or agencies.

**3) Passed Edit Check –** A State will receive one point if it submits data that meets all the edit checks related to the specific data collection by the initial due date. The counts included in 618 data submissions are internally consistent within a data collection.

## Dispute Resolution

**IDEA Part C**

**South Dakota**

**Year 2023-24**

**Section A: Written, Signed Complaints**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **(1) Total number of written signed complaints filed.** | 0 |
| (1.1) Complaints with reports issued. | 0 |
| (1.1) (a) Reports with findings of noncompliance. | 0 |
| (1.1) (b) Reports within timelines. | 0 |
| (1.1) (c) Reports within extended timelines. | 0 |
| (1.2) Complaints pending. | 0 |
| (1.2) (a) Complaints pending a due process hearing. | 0 |
| (1.3) Complaints withdrawn or dismissed. | 0 |

**Section B: Mediation Requests**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **(2) Total number of mediation requests received through all dispute resolution processes.** | 0 |
| (2.1) Mediations held. | 0 |
| (2.1) (a) Mediations held related to due process complaints. | 0 |
| (2.1) (a) (i) Mediation agreements related to due process complaints. | 0 |
| (2.1) (b) Mediations held not related to due process complaints. | 0 |
| (2.1) (b) (i) Mediation agreements not related to due process complaints. | 0 |
| (2.2) Mediations pending. | 0 |
| (2.3) Mediations not held. | 0 |

**Section C: Due Process Complaints**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **(3) Total number of due process complaints filed.** | 0 |
| Has your state adopted Part C due process hearing procedures under 34 CFR 303.430(d)(1) or Part B due process hearing procedures under 34 CFR 303.430(d)(2)? | PARTB |
| (3.1) Resolution meetings (applicable ONLY for states using Part B due process hearing procedures). | 0 |
| (3.1) (a) Written settlement agreements reached through resolution meetings. | 0 |
| (3.2) Hearings fully adjudicated. | 0 |
| (3.2) (a) Decisions within timeline. | 0 |
| (3.2) (b) Decisions within extended timeline. | 0 |
| (3.3) Hearings pending. | 0 |
| (3.4) Due process complaints withdrawn or dismissed (including resolved without a hearing). | 0 |

**This report shows the most recent data that was entered by:**

South Dakota

**These data were extracted on the close date:**

11/13/2024

## How the Department Made Determinations

Below is the location of How the Department Made Determinations (HTDMD) on OSEP’s IDEA Website. How the Department Made Determinations in 2025 will be posted in June 2025. Copy and paste the link below into a browser to view.

<https://sites.ed.gov/idea/how-the-department-made-determinations/>

## Final Determination Letter

June 18, 2025

Honorable Joseph Graves

Secretary of Education

South Dakota Department of Education

800 Governors Drive

Pierre, SD 57501

Dear Secretary Graves:

I am writing to advise you of the U.S. Department of Education’s (Department) 2025 determination under Sections 616 and 642 of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The Department has determined that South Dakota meets the requirements and purposes of Part C of the IDEA. This determination is based on the totality of South Dakota's data and information, including the Federal fiscal year (FFY) 2023 State Performance Plan/Annual Performance Report (SPP/APR), other State-reported data, and other publicly available information.

South Dakota's 2025 determination is based on the data reflected in South Dakota's “2025 Part C Results-Driven Accountability Matrix” (RDA Matrix). The RDA Matrix is individualized for South Dakota and consists of:

1. a Compliance Matrix that includes scoring on Compliance Indicators and other compliance factors;
2. a Results Matrix (including Components and Appendices) that include scoring on Results Elements;
3. a Compliance Score and a Results Score;
4. an RDA Percentage based on both the Compliance Score and the Results Score; and
5. South Dakota's Determination.

The RDA Matrix is further explained in a document, entitled “[How the Department Made Determinations under Sections 616(d) and 642 of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act in 2025: Part C](https://sites.ed.gov/idea/how-the-department-made-determinations/)” (HTDMD-C).

The Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) is continuing to use both results data and compliance data in making the Department’s determinations in 2025, as it did for Part C determinations in 2016-2024. (The specifics of the determination procedures and criteria are set forth in the HTDMD-C document and reflected in the RDA Matrix for South Dakota.) For the 2025 IDEA Part C determinations, OSEP also considered performance on timely correction of noncompliance requirements in Indicator 12. While the State’s performance on timely correction of noncompliance was a factor in each State or Entity’s 2025 Part C Compliance Matrix, no State or Entity received a Needs Intervention determination in 2025 due solely to this criterion. However, this criterion will be fully incorporated beginning with the 2026 determinations. For 2025, the Department’s IDEA Part C determinations continue to include consideration of each State’s Child Outcomes data, which measure how children who receive Part C services are improving functioning in three outcome areas that are critical to school readiness:

* positive social-emotional skills;
* acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication); and
* use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.

Specifically, the Department considered the data quality, and the child performance levels in each State’s Child Outcomes FFY 2023 data. You may access the results of OSEP’s review of South Dakota's SPP/APR and other relevant data by accessing the EMAPS SPP/APR reporting tool using your State-specific log-on information at <https://emaps.ed.gov/suite/>. When you access South Dakota's SPP/APR on the site, you will find, in Indicators 1 through 12, the OSEP Response to the indicator and any actions that South Dakota is required to take. The actions that South Dakota is required to take are in the “Required Actions” section of the indicator.

It is important for your State to review the Introduction to the SPP/APR, which may also include language in the “OSEP Response” and/or “Required Actions” sections.

Your State will also find the following important documents in the Determinations Enclosures section:

1. South Dakota's RDA Matrix;
2. the HTDMD link;
3. “2025 Data Rubric Part C,” which shows how OSEP calculated the State’s “Timely and Accurate State-Reported Data” score in the Compliance Matrix; and
4. “Dispute Resolution 2023-2024,” which includes the IDEA Section 618 data that OSEP used to calculate the State’s “Timely State Complaint Decisions” and “Timely Due Process Hearing Decisions” scores in the Compliance Matrix.

As noted above, South Dakota's 2025 determination is Meets Requirements. A State’s 2025 RDA Determination is Meets Requirements if the RDA Percentage is at least 80%, unless the Department has imposed Specific Conditions on the State’s last three IDEA Part C grant awards (for FFYs 2022, 2023, and 2024), and those Specific Conditions are in effect at the time of the 2025 determination.

The Secretary is considering modifying the factors the Department will use in making its determinations in June 2026 and beyond, as part of the Administration’s priority to empower States in taking the lead in developing and implementing policies that best serve children with disabilities, and empowering parents with school choice options. As we consider changes to data collection and how we use the data reported to the Department in making annual IDEA determinations, OSEP will provide parents, States, entities, and other stakeholders with an opportunity to comment and provide input through a variety of mechanisms.

For the FFY 2024 SPP/APR submission due on February 1, 2026, OSEP is providing the following information about the IDEA Section 618 data. The 2024-25 IDEA Section 618 Part C data submitted as of the due date will be used for the FFY 2024 SPP/APR and the 2026 IDEA Part C Results Matrix and data submitted during correction opportunities will not be used for these purposes. States will not be able to resubmit their IDEA Section 618 data after the due date. The 2024-25 IDEA Section 618 Part C data that States submit will automatically be prepopulated in the SPP/APR reporting platform for Part C SPP/APR Indicators 2, 5, 6, 9, and 10 (as they have in the past). Under EDFacts Modernization, States are expected to submit high-quality IDEA Section 618 Part C data that can be published and used by the Department as of the due date. States are expected to conduct data quality reviews prior to the applicable due date. OSEP expects States to take one of the following actions for all business rules that are triggered in the appropriate EDFacts system prior to the applicable due date: 1) revise the uploaded data to address the edit; or 2) provide a data note addressing why the data submission triggered the business rule. States will be unable to submit the IDEA Section 618 Part C data without taking one of these two actions. There will not be a resubmission period for the IDEA Section 618 Part C data.

As a reminder, South Dakota must report annually to the public, by posting on the State lead agency’s website, on the performance of each early intervention service (EIS) program located in South Dakota on the targets in the SPP/APR as soon as practicable, but no later than 120 days after South Dakota's submission of its FFY 2023 SPP/APR. In addition, South Dakota must:

1. review EIS program performance against targets in South Dakota's SPP/APR;
2. determine if each EIS program “meets the requirements” of Part C, or “needs assistance,” “needs intervention,” or “needs substantial intervention” in implementing Part C of the IDEA;
3. take appropriate enforcement action; and
4. inform each EIS program of its determination.

Further, South Dakota must make its SPP/APR available to the public by posting it on the State lead agency’s website. Within the upcoming weeks, OSEP will be finalizing a State Profile that:

1. includes South Dakota's determination letter and SPP/APR, OSEP attachments, and all State attachments that are accessible in accordance with Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973; and
2. will be accessible to the public via the ed.gov website.

OSEP appreciates South Dakota's efforts to improve results for infants and toddlers with disabilities and their families and looks forward to working with South Dakota over the next year as we continue our important work of improving the lives of children with disabilities and their families. Please contact your OSEP State Lead if you have any questions, would like to discuss this further, or want to request technical assistance.

Sincerely,



David J. Cantrell

Deputy Director

Office of Special Education Programs

cc: State Part C Coordinator