

800 Governors Drive Pierre, SD 57501-2235 T 605.773.3413 F 605.773.6846 www.doe.sd.gov

Email: DOE.SchoolLunch@state.sd.us

STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

School	Date review summary was publicly posted:							
Date of								
Date re								
Date re								
complia nutritio civil righ	iew summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's ince with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school n environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to nts, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings d to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).							
Genera	l Program Participation							
1.	What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)							
	⊠ School Breakfast Program							
	☑ National School Lunch Program							
	☐ Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program							
	☐ Afterschool Snack							
	□ Special Milk Program □ Seamless Summer Option							
2.	Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)							
	☐ Community Eligibility Provision							
	☐ Special Provision 1							
	□ Special Provision 2							
	□ Special Provision 3							
Review	Findings							
3.	Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority? ▼ No							

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below. oximes

YES	NO			REVIEW FINDINGS		
X		A. Pr	ogram	Access and Reimbursement		
		YES	NO			
		X		Certification and Benefit Issuance		
			X	Verification		
		X		Meal Counting and Claiming		
		Finding(s) Details:				
		1)	not c SSN i Eligib child	ng 1 (Onsite 126): Six applications containing twelve students did ontain a SSN or have the box checked stating there is no SSN. The s required information. According to 7 CFR 210.7 and the USDA illity Manual for School Meals, agencies must correctly approve each is eligibility for free and reduced price lunches based on specific rements.		
		2)	deter inster incor	ng 2 (Onsite 128): Multiple applications should have been rmined based on annual income, but monthly income was calculated ad. When an application reports several frequencies of income, all ne must be calculated into annual and the determination must be a using the annual income within the eligibility guidelines		
		3)	ng 3 (Onsite 316): Lunch meal counts were over claimed by a total of als. This was due to consolidation errors when entering counts from lectronic point of service into iCAN. According to 2 CFR 210.8 Claims eimbursement, School Food Authorities shall establish internal rols which ensure the accuracy of meal counts prior to the dission of the monthly claim for reimbursement.			
X		B. M	eal Pat	terns and Nutritional Quality		
		YES	NO			
		X		Meal Components and Quantities		
			×	Offer versus Serve		
			X	Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis		
			g(s) Det			
		1)		ng 4 (Onsite 403): On the day of review only one choice of milk was		
				ed for breakfast. According to 7 CFR 210.10(d)(1)(i) Schools must students a variety (at least two different options) of fluid milk.		
		2)	teach back accor throu comp	ng 5 (Onsite 406): During the onsite review it was noted that a ner was picking up student trays for preschoolers who eat separately in the classroom. This is no longer considered co-mingling and rding to 7 CFR 210.10(p)(1) schools serving lunches to children ages 1 agh 4 under the National School Lunch Program must serve the food conents and quantities required in the lunch meal pattern blished for the Child and Adult Care Food Program.		

X		C. School Nutrition Environment				
		YES	NO			
		X		Food Safety		
		X		Local School Wellness Policy		
			X	Competitive Foods		
		X		Other		
		Finding	ails:			
		1)	Findi	ng 7 (Onsite 1403/1411): During the on-site review food		
			comp	ponents were found to be non-compliant with the Buy American		
			•	sion (7 CFR 210.21(d)). Food items included green chili peppers,		
				colives, and edamame succotash blend as products from countries r than USA.		
2) Finding 6 (Offsite 1000-1006): Local Wellness Policy does n required elements. According to 7 CFR 210.31, each local edagency must establish a local school wellness policy for all sparticipating in the National School Lunch Program and/or Breakfast Program under the jurisdiction of the local educa						
			The lopromition proving and continuous conti	he local school wellness policy is a written plan that includes methods to romote student wellness, prevent and reduce childhood obesity, and rovide assurance that school meals and other food and beverages sold nd otherwise made available on the school campus during the school ay are consistent with applicable minimum Federal standards.		
		3)	availa Servi	ng 8 (Onsite 1601): The SFA did not notify eligible households of the ability and location of free meals for students via the Summer Food ce Program. Notifying families of the availability of free meals during ummer is a requirement of the National School Lunch Program.		
П	X	D. Ci	vil Righ	te		
		N/A	AII IVIBII	LS		