

STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

School Food Authority Name: Beresford School District 61-2

Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): March 15, 2023

Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority: July 7, 2023

Date review summary was publicly posted: 7/14/23

The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings provided to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).

General Program Participation

1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)

- School Breakfast Program
 National School Lunch Program
 Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program
 Afterschool Snack
 Special Milk Program
 Seamless Summer Option

2. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)

- Community Eligibility Provision
 Special Provision 1
 Special Provision 2
 Special Provision 3

Review Findings

3. Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?
 Yes No

This institution is an equal opportunity provider.

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

| YES | NO | REVIEW FINDINGS | | |
|---|--------------------------|---|--------------------------|--|
| X | <input type="checkbox"/> | A. Program Access and Reimbursement | | |
| | | YES | NO | |
| | | X | <input type="checkbox"/> | Certification and Benefit Issuance |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> | X | Verification |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> | X | Meal Counting and Claiming |
| Finding(s) Details: | | | | |
| 1) Not all selected applications were approved correctly. [One application for three students was processed based on income not on the Migrant Status that was indicated on the application.] 2) When processing applications, benefits cannot be back dated to the date the application was signed only to the date the application was submitted to the SFA . Otherwise, benefits must start on the date the application is processed. Received-on date must be documented on the application with a received-on date stamp or other system that confirms the date the application was submitted to the SFA. | | | | |
| X | <input type="checkbox"/> | B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality | | |
| | | YES | NO | |
| | | X | <input type="checkbox"/> | Meal Components and Quantities |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> | X | Offer versus Serve |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> | X | Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis |
| Finding(s) Details: | | | | |
| 1) Signage is not posted near or at the beginning of the serving line identifying what constitutes a reimbursable meal for breakfast.2) | | | | |
| X | <input type="checkbox"/> | C. School Nutrition Environment | | |
| | | YES | NO | |
| | | X | <input type="checkbox"/> | Food Safety |
| | | X | <input type="checkbox"/> | Local School Wellness Policy |
| | | X | <input type="checkbox"/> | Competitive Foods |
| X | <input type="checkbox"/> | Other: Professional Standards, Buy American, Outreach | | |
| Finding(s) Details: | | | | |
| 1) The Local Wellness Policy has not been reviewed or updated. 2) The sponsor does not have Buy American documentation on file for products that do not meet the provision including Mandarin Oranges, Pineapple Tidbits, and Tropical Fruit. 3) Revenue From Nonprogram Foods requirements are not met. 4) The SFA is selling items that do not meet the Smart Snacks nutrition standards (Mentos, Trident Gum, Special K Pastry Crisps). | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | X | D. Civil Rights | | |
| Finding(s) Details: | | | | |