

800 Governors Drive Pierre, SD 57501-2235 T 605.773.3413 F 605.773.6846 www.doe.sd.gov

Email: <u>DOE.SchoolLunch@state.sd.us</u>

STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

School I	Food Authority Name: Porcupine Contract School
Date of	Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): January 31, 2023
Date re	view results were provided to the School Food Authority: August 4, 2023
Date re	view summary was publicly posted:8/4/23
complia nutritio civil righ	iew summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's not with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nenvironment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to not, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings d to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).
Genera	Program Participation
1.	What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)
	X School Breakfast Program X National School Lunch Program X Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program Afterschool Snack Special Milk Program Seamless Summer Option
2.	Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)
	X Community Eligibility Provision Special Provision 1 Special Provision 2 Special Provision 3
Review	Findings
3.	Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority? X Yes No



800 Governors Drive Pierre, SD 57501-2294 T 605.773.3413 F 605.773.6846 www.doe.sd.gov

Email: DOE.SchoolLunch@state.sd.us

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

X Program Access and Reimbursement YES NO	YES	NO	REVIEW FINDINGS			
X Verification X Verification X Verification X Verification X Verification X Verification X Meal Counting and Claiming		Х				
X Verification			YES	NO		
X Meal Counting and Claiming				Х	Certification and Benefit Issuance	
Finding(s) Details: 1) 2) X B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality YES NO X				Х	Verification	
X Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality YES NO				Х	Meal Counting and Claiming	
B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality YES NO X Offer versus Serve X Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis I) Breakfast: At least 80% of grains offered must be whole grain-rich to meet requirements. The menu met 75% whole grains for the week of review. 2) Signage is not posted near or at the beginning of the serving line identifying what constitutes a reimbursable meal for breakfast. 3) Lunch: minimum daily vegetable requirements not met during the week of review. Vegetable subgroups not met for the week of review. 4) Signage is not posted near or at the beginning of the serving line identifying what constitutes a reimbursable meal for breakfast. X			Findin	g(s) Det	ails:	
B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality YES NO X			1)	1		
YES						
X	Χ		B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality			
X Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis			YES	NO		
X Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis Finding(s) Details: 1) Breakfast: At least 80% of grains offered must be whole grain-rich to meet requirements. The menu met 75% whole grains for the week of review. 2) Signage is not posted near or at the beginning of the serving line identifying what constitutes a reimbursable meal for breakfast. 3) Lunch: minimum daily vegetable requirements not met during the week of review. Vegetable subgroups not met for the week of review. 4) Signage is not posted near or at the beginning of the serving line identifying what constitutes a reimbursable meal for breakfast. X C. School Nutrition Environment YES NO			Х		Meal Components and Quantities	
Finding(s) Details: 1) Breakfast: At least 80% of grains offered must be whole grain-rich to meet requirements. The menu met 75% whole grains for the week of review. 2) Signage is not posted near or at the beginning of the serving line identifying what constitutes a reimbursable meal for breakfast. 3) Lunch: minimum daily vegetable requirements not met during the week of review. Vegetable subgroups not met for the week of review. 4) Signage is not posted near or at the beginning of the serving line identifying what constitutes a reimbursable meal for breakfast. X C. School Nutrition Environment YES NO X						
1) Breakfast: At least 80% of grains offered must be whole grain-rich to meet requirements. The menu met 75% whole grains for the week of review. 2) Signage is not posted near or at the beginning of the serving line identifying what constitutes a reimbursable meal for breakfast. 3) Lunch: minimum daily vegetable requirements not met during the week of review. Vegetable subgroups not met for the week of review. 4) Signage is not posted near or at the beginning of the serving line identifying what constitutes a reimbursable meal for breakfast. C. School Nutrition Environment YES NO X						
requirements. The menu met 75% whole grains for the week of review. 2) Signage is not posted near or at the beginning of the serving line identifying what constitutes a reimbursable meal for breakfast. 3) Lunch: minimum daily vegetable requirements not met during the week of review. Vegetable subgroups not met for the week of review. 4) Signage is not posted near or at the beginning of the serving line identifying what constitutes a reimbursable meal for breakfast. X C. School Nutrition Environment YES NO X						
2) Signage is not posted near or at the beginning of the serving line identifying what constitutes a reimbursable meal for breakfast. 3) Lunch: minimum daily vegetable requirements not met during the week of review. Vegetable subgroups not met for the week of review. 4) Signage is not posted near or at the beginning of the serving line identifying what constitutes a reimbursable meal for breakfast. X C. School Nutrition Environment YES NO X						
what constitutes a reimbursable meal for breakfast. 3) Lunch: minimum daily vegetable requirements not met during the week of review. Vegetable subgroups not met for the week of review. 4) Signage is not posted near or at the beginning of the serving line identifying what constitutes a reimbursable meal for breakfast. X C. School Nutrition Environment YES NO X				-	=	
3) Lunch: minimum daily vegetable requirements not met during the week of review. Vegetable subgroups not met for the week of review. 4) Signage is not posted near or at the beginning of the serving line identifying what constitutes a reimbursable meal for breakfast. C. School Nutrition Environment YES NO X			-			
review. Vegetable subgroups not met for the week of review. 4) Signage is not posted near or at the beginning of the serving line identifying what constitutes a reimbursable meal for breakfast. C. School Nutrition Environment YES NO X						
4) Signage is not posted near or at the beginning of the serving line identifying what constitutes a reimbursable meal for breakfast. C. School Nutrition Environment YES NO X			-			
what constitutes a reimbursable meal for breakfast. C. School Nutrition Environment YES NO X						
X C. School Nutrition Environment YES NO X			-			
YES NO X	Y	П	_			
X	^				actition Environment	
X Competitive Foods X Competitive Foods X Other: Professional Standards, Buy American, Outreach Finding(s) Details: 1) Free potable water is not available to students for lunch and/or breakfast 2) Reviewer observed: pineapple from Thailand. All foods served must be produced in the United States or have documentation demonstrating that the domestic product is not available, or that there is a substantial cost differential between foreign and domestic product. 3) Storage violations were observed on-site. The SFA had food that was not stored 6 inches off the floor. 4) The SFA does not have documentation to show that two required health inspections per program year were requested. 5) A copy of the food safety plan was not available at the site. 6) The school is not widely publicizing the Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program. 7) The Local Wellness Policy has not been reviewed or updated. 8) The LEA does not have a Local School Wellness Policy. 9) The SFA does not track training hours annually. The SFA must track the			-		Food Safety	
 X Competitive Foods X □ Other: Professional Standards, Buy American, Outreach Finding(s) Details: Free potable water is not available to students for lunch and/or breakfast Reviewer observed: pineapple from Thailand. All foods served must be produced in the United States or have documentation demonstrating that the domestic product is not available, or that there is a substantial cost differential between foreign and domestic product. Storage violations were observed on-site. The SFA had food that was not stored 6 inches off the floor. The SFA does not have documentation to show that two required health inspections per program year were requested. A copy of the food safety plan was not available at the site. The school is not widely publicizing the Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program. The Local Wellness Policy has not been reviewed or updated. The LEA does not have a Local School Wellness Policy. The SFA must track the 			-			
X			-		·	
Finding(s) Details: 1) Free potable water is not available to students for lunch and/or breakfast 2) Reviewer observed: pineapple from Thailand. All foods served must be produced in the United States or have documentation demonstrating that the domestic product is not available, or that there is a substantial cost differential between foreign and domestic product. 3) Storage violations were observed on-site. The SFA had food that was not stored 6 inches off the floor. 4) The SFA does not have documentation to show that two required health inspections per program year were requested. 5) A copy of the food safety plan was not available at the site. 6) The school is not widely publicizing the Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program. 7) The Local Wellness Policy has not been reviewed or updated. 8) The LEA does not have a Local School Wellness Policy. 9) The SFA does not track training hours annually. The SFA must track the				П	•	
 1) Free potable water is not available to students for lunch and/or breakfast 2) Reviewer observed: pineapple from Thailand. All foods served must be produced in the United States or have documentation demonstrating that the domestic product is not available, or that there is a substantial cost differential between foreign and domestic product. 3) Storage violations were observed on-site. The SFA had food that was not stored 6 inches off the floor. 4) The SFA does not have documentation to show that two required health inspections per program year were requested. 5) A copy of the food safety plan was not available at the site. 6) The school is not widely publicizing the Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program. 7) The Local Wellness Policy has not been reviewed or updated. 8) The LEA does not have a Local School Wellness Policy. 9) The SFA does not track training hours annually. The SFA must track the 				g(s) Det		
 Reviewer observed: pineapple from Thailand. All foods served must be produced in the United States or have documentation demonstrating that the domestic product is not available, or that there is a substantial cost differential between foreign and domestic product. Storage violations were observed on-site. The SFA had food that was not stored 6 inches off the floor. The SFA does not have documentation to show that two required health inspections per program year were requested. A copy of the food safety plan was not available at the site. The school is not widely publicizing the Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program. The Local Wellness Policy has not been reviewed or updated. The LEA does not have a Local School Wellness Policy. The SFA must track the 						
produced in the United States or have documentation demonstrating that the domestic product is not available, or that there is a substantial cost differential between foreign and domestic product. 3) Storage violations were observed on-site. The SFA had food that was not stored 6 inches off the floor. 4) The SFA does not have documentation to show that two required health inspections per program year were requested. 5) A copy of the food safety plan was not available at the site. 6) The school is not widely publicizing the Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program. 7) The Local Wellness Policy has not been reviewed or updated. 8) The LEA does not have a Local School Wellness Policy. 9) The SFA does not track training hours annually. The SFA must track the			-	-		
differential between foreign and domestic product. 3) Storage violations were observed on-site. The SFA had food that was not stored 6 inches off the floor. 4) The SFA does not have documentation to show that two required health inspections per program year were requested. 5) A copy of the food safety plan was not available at the site. 6) The school is not widely publicizing the Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program. 7) The Local Wellness Policy has not been reviewed or updated. 8) The LEA does not have a Local School Wellness Policy. 9) The SFA does not track training hours annually. The SFA must track the						
 Storage violations were observed on-site. The SFA had food that was not stored 6 inches off the floor. The SFA does not have documentation to show that two required health inspections per program year were requested. A copy of the food safety plan was not available at the site. The school is not widely publicizing the Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program. The Local Wellness Policy has not been reviewed or updated. The LEA does not have a Local School Wellness Policy. The SFA must track the 			do	omestic	product is not available, or that there is a substantial cost	
stored 6 inches off the floor. 4) The SFA does not have documentation to show that two required health inspections per program year were requested. 5) A copy of the food safety plan was not available at the site. 6) The school is not widely publicizing the Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program. 7) The Local Wellness Policy has not been reviewed or updated. 8) The LEA does not have a Local School Wellness Policy. 9) The SFA does not track training hours annually. The SFA must track the			di	fferenti	al between foreign and domestic product.	
 4) The SFA does not have documentation to show that two required health inspections per program year were requested. 5) A copy of the food safety plan was not available at the site. 6) The school is not widely publicizing the Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program. 7) The Local Wellness Policy has not been reviewed or updated. 8) The LEA does not have a Local School Wellness Policy. 9) The SFA does not track training hours annually. The SFA must track the 			3)	Storage	e violations were observed on-site. The SFA had food that was not	
inspections per program year were requested. 5) A copy of the food safety plan was not available at the site. 6) The school is not widely publicizing the Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program. 7) The Local Wellness Policy has not been reviewed or updated. 8) The LEA does not have a Local School Wellness Policy. 9) The SFA does not track training hours annually. The SFA must track the			st	ored 6 i	nches off the floor.	
 5) A copy of the food safety plan was not available at the site. 6) The school is not widely publicizing the Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program. 7) The Local Wellness Policy has not been reviewed or updated. 8) The LEA does not have a Local School Wellness Policy. 9) The SFA does not track training hours annually. The SFA must track the 					•	
 6) The school is not widely publicizing the Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program. 7) The Local Wellness Policy has not been reviewed or updated. 8) The LEA does not have a Local School Wellness Policy. 9) The SFA does not track training hours annually. The SFA must track the 				•		
7) The Local Wellness Policy has not been reviewed or updated.8) The LEA does not have a Local School Wellness Policy.9) The SFA does not track training hours annually. The SFA must track the			-			
8) The LEA does not have a Local School Wellness Policy.9) The SFA does not track training hours annually. The SFA must track the						
9) The SFA does not track training hours annually. The SFA must track the						
					·	
hours of training completed by all School Nutrition staff on an annual basis. 10) The school nutrition staff, including part-time, full-time, and any staff					=	



800 Governors Drive Pierre, SD 57501-2294 T 605.773.3413 F 605.773.6846 www.doe.sd.gov

Email: DOE.SchoolLunch@state.sd.us

	hired after January 1, did not meet the training requirements, and did not
	have scheduled/planned trainings for the remainder of the school year to
	meet annual training requirements.
	10) The School Nutrition Program Manager did not meet the training
	requirements and did not have scheduled/planned training for the remainder
	of the school year to meet annual training requirements.
	11) Employees outside of the school nutrition program whose responsibilities
	include duties related to the operation of the school nutrition program did
	not receive training applicable to their duties related to the program.
	12) The SFA does not have a Food Safety Plan.
	13) The SFA has not performed SFSP outreach.
	14) The SFA did not resolve the negative fund balance in the nonprofit school
	food service account as of June 30, 2022.
	15) The SFA did not provide the requested documentation required to
	complete allowable cost testing.
	16) It could not be determined if the SFA accurately recorded all program
	revenue to the nonprofit school food service account. The SFA did not provide
	the requested detailed revenue report for SY 21-22.
	17) The SFA does not have a process to ensure that it is recovering the full
	cost of free nonprogram adult meals served.
Х	D. Civil Rights
	Finding(s) Details: