

## STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

**School Food Authority Name:** Redfield School District 56-4

**Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date):** 1/25/24

**Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority:** 6/11/24

**Date review summary was publicly posted:** 10/11/24

---

The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings provided to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).

### General Program Participation

1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)

- School Breakfast Program  
 National School Lunch Program  
 Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program  
 Afterschool Snack  
 Special Milk Program  
 Seamless Summer Option

2. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)

- Community Eligibility Provision  
 Special Provision 1  
 Special Provision 2  
 Special Provision 3

### Review Findings

3. Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?  
 Yes       No

This institution is an equal opportunity provider.

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

YES	NO	REVIEW FINDINGS		
X	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>A. Program Access and Reimbursement</b>		
		<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>	
		X	<input type="checkbox"/>	Certification and Benefit Issuance
		X	<input type="checkbox"/>	Verification
		<input type="checkbox"/>	X	Meal Counting and Claiming
Finding(s) Details: 1) Not all selected applications were approved correctly. 2) The SFA does not have documentation demonstrating that a confirmation review took place.				
X	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality</b>		
		<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>	
		X	<input type="checkbox"/>	Meal Components and Quantities
		<input type="checkbox"/>	X	Offer versus Serve
		<input type="checkbox"/>	X	Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis
Finding(s) Details: 1) Signage is not posted near or at the beginning of the serving line identifying what constitutes a reimbursable meal, including the language that students need to select 1/2 cup of fruit or vegetable for Offer vs. Serve requirements for breakfast and lunch. 2) For the week of menu review, the 6-8 breakfast and lunch menu did not meet the minimum daily and weekly requirements for grain. 3) For the week of menu review, the 6-8 breakfast and lunch menu did not meet the whole grain-rich requirement. 4) For the week of menu review, the 6-8 breakfast menu did not meet the minimum daily and weekly requirements for fruit. 5) No more than half of the fruit or vegetable offerings may be in the form of juice. 6) For the week of menu review, the 6-8 lunch menu did not meet the minimum daily and weekly requirements for meat/meat alternate. 7) For the week of menu review, the 6-8 lunch menu did not meet the minimum daily and weekly requirements for vegetables. 8) For the week of menu review, the 6-8 lunch menu did not meet the minimum weekly requirement for the red/orange vegetable subgroup. 9) For the week of menu review, the 6-8 lunch menu did not meet the minimum weekly requirement for the beans/legumes vegetable subgroup. 10) The planned menu was not followed for the week of menu review.				
X	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>C. School Nutrition Environment</b>		
		<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>	
		X	<input type="checkbox"/>	Food Safety
		X	<input type="checkbox"/>	Local School Wellness Policy
		<input type="checkbox"/>	X	Competitive Foods
X	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other: Professional Standards, Buy American, Outreach		
Finding(s) Details: 1) The Local Wellness Policy has not been reviewed or updated.				

		<p>2) The SFA does not have a process for ensuring non-packaged or recipe based items are in compliance with Smart Snacks rules.</p> <p>3) The SFA is not tracking training hours annually.</p> <p>4) Reviewer observed fruit cocktail from China and frozen vegetables from Mexico.</p> <p>5) Storage violations were observed on-site.</p> <p>6) The Food Safety Plan/Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) was not implemented as required regarding daily temperature logs for food storage areas.</p>
□	X	<p><b>D. Civil Rights</b></p> <p>Finding(s) Details:</p>