

STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

School Food Authority Name: St. Francis Indian School

Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): 12/12/23

Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority: 4/10/24

Date review summary was publicly posted: 10/11/24

The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings provided to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).

General Program Participation

1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)

- School Breakfast Program
 National School Lunch Program
 Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program
 Afterschool Snack
 Special Milk Program
 Seamless Summer Option

2. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)

- Community Eligibility Provision
 Special Provision 1
 Special Provision 2
 Special Provision 3

Review Findings

3. Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?
 Yes No

This institution is an equal opportunity provider.

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

YES	NO	REVIEW FINDINGS		
X	<input type="checkbox"/>	A. Program Access and Reimbursement		
		YES	NO	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	X	Certification and Benefit Issuance
		<input type="checkbox"/>	X	Verification
		X	<input type="checkbox"/>	Meal Counting and Claiming
Finding(s) Details: 1) The SFA does not conduct a daily edit check for each meal service. 2)				
X	<input type="checkbox"/>	B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality		
		YES	NO	
		X	<input type="checkbox"/>	Meal Components and Quantities
		<input type="checkbox"/>	X	Offer versus Serve
		<input type="checkbox"/>	X	Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis
Finding(s) Details: 1) Signage is not posted near or at the beginning of the serving line identifying what constitutes a reimbursable meal for breakfast and lunch 2) For the week of menu review, the 6-8 breakfast menu did not meet the minimum daily requirements for fruit 3) For the week of menu review, the 6-8 lunch menu did not meet the whole grain-rich requirements. 4) For the week of menu review, the 6-8 lunch menu did not meet the minimum daily requirements for vegetables. 5) For the week of menu review, the 6-8 lunch menu did not meet the minimum weekly requirement for the red/orange vegetable subgroup. 6) The planned menu was not followed for the week of menu review.				
X	<input type="checkbox"/>	C. School Nutrition Environment		
		YES	NO	
		X	<input type="checkbox"/>	Food Safety
		X	<input type="checkbox"/>	Local School Wellness Policy
		<input type="checkbox"/>	X	Competitive Foods
X	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other: Professional Standards, Buy American, Outreach		
Finding(s) Details: 1) An assessment of the Local Wellness Policy has not been completed. 2) Stakeholders were not given the option to be involved in the development, implementation, periodic review, and update of the Local Wellness Policy. 3) The SFA has not performed SFSP outreach. 4) The SFA did not have the most recent food safety inspection report posted in a publicly visible location. 5) Reviewer observed Mandarin Oranges from China and Pineapple from Indonesia.				
X	<input type="checkbox"/>	D. Civil Rights		
		Finding(s) Details: 1) The SFA is not using the non-discrimination statement. 2) The SFA does not have a procedure in place for handling civil rights complaints.		

		3) The SFA is using the incorrect non-discrimination statement.
--	--	---