

STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

School Food Authority Name: Willow Lake School District 12-3

Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): 2/15/2024

Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority: 4/22/2024

Date review summary was publicly posted: 6/21/24

The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings provided to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).

General Program Participation

1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)

- School Breakfast Program
 National School Lunch Program
 Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program
 Afterschool Snack
 Special Milk Program
 Seamless Summer Option

2. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)

- Community Eligibility Provision
 Special Provision 1
 Special Provision 2
 Special Provision 3

Review Findings

3. Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?
 Yes No

This institution is an equal opportunity provider.

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

YES	NO	REVIEW FINDINGS		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	A. Program Access and Reimbursement		
		YES	NO	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	x	Certification and Benefit Issuance
		<input type="checkbox"/>	X	Verification
		<input type="checkbox"/>	X	Meal Counting and Claiming
Finding(s) Details: 1) 2)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality		
		YES	NO	
		X	<input type="checkbox"/>	Meal Components and Quantities
		<input type="checkbox"/>	x	Offer versus Serve
		<input type="checkbox"/>	X	Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis
Finding(s) Details: 1) For the week of menu review, the 9-12 breakfast menu did not meet the minimum weekly requirements for grain. 2) For the week of menu review, the 9-12 breakfast menu did not meet the minimum daily requirements for fruit. 3) For the week of menu review, the 9-12 breakfast menu did not meet the minimum weekly requirements for fruit. 4) For the week of menu review, the 9-12 breakfast menu did not meet the requirement of no more than 50% of the fruit offerings may be in the form of juice. 5) On the day of review, the lunch menu did not meet the daily requirements due to the following reason: Crediting contribution for the Chef's Salad and Sub Deli sandwich could not be confirmed due to insufficient documentation - no standardized recipes for these two items. 6) For the week of menu review, the 9-12 lunch menu did not meet the minimum daily and weekly requirements for meat/meat alternate. 7) For the week of menu review, the 9-12 lunch menu did not meet the minimum daily requirements for grain. 8) For the week of menu review, the 9-12 lunch menu did not meet the whole grain-rich requirements. 9) For the week of menu review, the 9-12 lunch menu did not meet the minimum daily and weekly requirements for vegetables. 10) For the week of menu review, the 9-12 lunch menu did not meet the ½ cup minimum weekly requirement for the dark green vegetable subgroup. 11) For the week of menu review, the 9-12 lunch menu did not meet the 1 ¼ cup minimum weekly requirement for the red/orange vegetable subgroup. 12) For the week of menu review, the 9-12 lunch menu did not meet the ½ cup minimum weekly requirement for the beans/legumes vegetable subgroup. 13) For the week of menu review, the meals planned did not contain the required vegetable component for lunch for grades 9-12 on Wednesday and Friday.				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	C. School Nutrition Environment		

		YES	NO	
		X	<input type="checkbox"/>	Food Safety
		x	<input type="checkbox"/>	Local School Wellness Policy
		<input type="checkbox"/>	x	Competitive Foods
		X	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other: Professional Standards, Buy American, Outreach
		Finding(s) Details: 1) An assessment of the Local Wellness Policy has not been completed. 2) The Local Wellness Policy does not contain all the required components. 3) The SFA has not performed SFSP outreach. 4) Reviewer observed that pineapple tidbits from Indonesia were being utilized. 5) Storage violations were observed on-site. 6) Temperature logs are not being maintained for all food storage areas.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	X	D. Civil Rights		
		Finding(s) Details:		