

800 Governors Drive Pierre, SD 57501-2235 T 605.773.3413 F 605.773.6846 www.doe.sd.gov

Email: DOE.SchoolLunch@state.sd.us

STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

School I	Food Authority Name: Rosholt School District				
Date of	Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date):				
Date re	Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority: 2.22.18				
Date re	view summary was publicly posted: 5.7.18				
complia nutritio civil righ	iew summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's ince with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school in environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to ints, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings in the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).				
Genera	l Program Participation				
1.	What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)				
	x□ School Breakfast Program □ National School Lunch Program □ Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program □ Afterschool Snack □ Special Milk Program □ Seamless Summer Option				
2.	Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply) Community Eligibility Provision Special Provision 1 Special Provision 2 Special Provision 3				
Review	Findings				
3.	Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority? $ \Box x \text{Yes} \qquad \Box \text{No} $				

This institution is an equal opportunity provider.

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

YES	NO			REVIEW FINDINGS
	x□	A. Pi	rogram	Access and Reimbursement
		YES	NO	
				Certification and Benefit Issuance
				Verification
				Meal Counting and Claiming
		Findin	g(s) Det	ails:
		1)		
		2)		
	x□		1	terns and Nutritional Quality
		YES	NO	10 10
		χ□		Meal Components and Quantities
				Offer versus Serve
			/ \	Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis
			g(s) Det	
				items with more than one ingredient need to be in
				lized recipe format. Items from the week of submitted menus
				d standardized recipes are cooked cabbage, parsnips, and
				as well as recipes for ring bologna, corn bread, mashed
		-		s, cottage cheese buns, and bread, string bean soup and
				om soup with crackers. Grains must be whole grain rich.
		lt	ems su	ch as ring bologna typically have a high level of sodium. If the
		2	oz. ser	ved are above the sodium target level it will have to be
		re	emoved	from the lunch menu, as it is not in compliance.
		2) NSLP i	requires subcategories of vegetables to be offered, in
		m	ninimun	n of 1/2 cup, one time each week. Vegetable subcategories
				orange, dark greens, beans/peas/legumes, starchy, and other.
				submitted menus lacked requirement for
				eas/legumes – none offered for the week of 12/11-12/15.
				menus also indicates that dark green requirement of ½ cup
				offered in sufficient quantity.
				, ,
		3	Signag)	e was not specific. Signage needs to state that a reimbursable
		m	neal nee	eds to include 3 of the 5 components, and one of those must
		b	e at lea	st ½ c fruit or vegetable. Regulations in 7 CFR 210.10(a)(2)
		re	equire s	chools to identify reimbursable meals to students.
□х		C. So	chool No	utrition Environment
^		YES	NO	
		x□		Food Safety
				Local School Wellness Policy
		χ□	П	Competitive Foods
				Other
			g(s) Det	
				in a statement from vendor stating the agricultural food
		1 -	, 0516	a statement from vendor stating the agricultural lood

Commented [CW1]:
1. Insert Findings, but not Documented Corrective Action.

- 2. Remove names and all Personally Identifiable Information.
- 3. Add all additional findings in "C. School Nutrition Environment, Other" that are not broken out in the other areas. All findings should be reflected on this report.

	2) Signage was not specific. Signage needs to state that a reimbursable meal needs to include 3 of the 5 components, and one of those must be at least ½ c fruit or vegetable. Regulations in 7 CFR 210.10(a)(2) require schools to identify reimbursable meals to students.
χ□	D. Civil Rights
	60,7
^ □	Finding(s) Details: 1) Outdated And Justice for All poster is displayed.