

800 Governors Drive Pierre, SD 57501-2235 T 605.773.3413 F 605.773.6846 www.doe.sd.gov Email: DOE.SchoolLunch@state.sd.us

STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

School Food Authority Name: <u>Sisseton</u>			
Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Con	ference Date):	1.10.18	
Date review results were provided to the Sch	ool Food Author	ri ty: _2.9.18	
Date review summary was publicly posted:	3.26.18		

The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings provided to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).

General Program Participation

- 1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)
 - x School Breakfast Program
 x National School Lunch Program
 x Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program
 Afterschool Snack
 Special Milk Program
 Seamless Summer Option
- 2. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)
 - \Box Community Eligibility Provision
 - $\hfill\square$ Special Provision 1
 - □ Special Provision 2
 - □ Special Provision 3

Review Findings

- 3. Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?
 - x Yes No

YES	NO	REVIEW FINDINGS				
	x	A. Program Access and Reimbursement				
		YES	NO			
				Certification and Benefit Issuance		
				Verification		
				Meal Counting and Claiming		
		Finding(s) Details:				
x						
		YES	NO			
		x		Meal Components and Quantities		
		X		Offer versus Serve		
				Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis		
		 Finding(s) Details: Finding 1 (Onsite 401, 500, 501): Reviewer noted 4 breakfast meals that only had 2 components. 2 lunch meals did not meet the requirement for fruit or vegetable quantity. Meals that contain insufficient quantities of meal components are incomplete. Incomplete meals claimed for reimbursement will be subject to fiscal action. Staff thought 3 components at lunch was reimbursable. Finding 2 (Onsite 502): Signage that explained to student population what made up a reimbursable meal, including requirement for ½ cup fruit or vegetable, was not available on electronic screen menus or paper menu. No signage present. 				
x		C. School Nutrition Environment				
		YES	NO			
		x		Food Safety		
				Local School Wellness Policy		
		x	Competitive Foods (Buy American)			
		Other				
		Findir of orig not ha Amer Findir	 Finding(s) Details: Finding 3 (Onsite 1410): Reviewer observed two products with a country of origin outside of the USA (Mandarin Oranges, Pineapple). The SFA did not have documentation on file to support an exception to the Buy American requirement for these products. Finding 4: Reviewer noted Romaine lettuce stored directly on floor of walk in cooler. SD health code requires all products to be 6 inches off ground. 			
	X		vil Righ			
		Findin	g(s) Det	ails:		

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.