

STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

School Food Authority Name: De Smet School District

Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): April 18, 2019

Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority: May 3, 2019

Date review summary was publicly posted: August 30, 2019

The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings provided to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).

General Program Participation

1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)

- School Breakfast Program
- National School Lunch Program
- Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program
- Afterschool Snack
- Special Milk Program
- Seamless Summer Option

2. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)

- Community Eligibility Provision
- Special Provision 1
- Special Provision 2
- Special Provision 3

Review Findings

3. Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?

- Yes No

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

YES	NO	REVIEW FINDINGS			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	A. Program Access and Reimbursement			
		YES	NO		
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Certification and Benefit Issuance	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Verification	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Meal Counting and Claiming	
Finding(s) Details:					
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The SFA incorrectly calculated one application resulting in a change is status from Free to Reduced. 2) Confirmation reviews were not completed for the Verification process. 3) Complete documentation was not received from the household for Verification and the SFA did not request any additional information/clarification. 					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality			
		YES	NO		
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Meal Components and Quantities	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Offer versus Serve	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis	
Finding(s) Details:					
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) For the week of menu review, the lunch menu did not meet the whole grain-rich requirement. Not all grains provided were whole grain-rich. 					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	C. School Nutrition Environment			
		YES	NO		
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Food Safety	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Local School Wellness Policy	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Competitive Foods	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other	
Finding(s) Details:					
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The SFA incorrectly assessed compliance with non-program food revenue requirements. 2) The SFA did not complete on-site monitoring for all sites prior to February 1. 3) The SFA was not properly dating food production upon receipt/opening. There were food products stored directly on the floor. 					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	D. Civil Rights			
		Finding(s) Details:			
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The SFA was providing water as a substitute for milk for students with special dietary needs. 					