

800 Governors Drive Pierre, SD 57501-2235 T 605.773.3413 F 605.773.6846 www.doe.sd.gov

Email: DOE.SchoolLunch@state.sd.us

STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

| School Food Authority Name: Henry School District | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): May 3, 2019 | | | | | | | | |
| Date re | Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority: June 3, 2019 | | | | | | | |
| Date re | view summary was publicly posted: August 30, 2019 | | | | | | | |
| complia nutrition civil righ | ew summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's nce with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nenvironment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to its, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings d to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3). | | | | | | | |
| General | Program Participation | | | | | | | |
| 1. | What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply) | | | | | | | |
| | ⊠ School Breakfast Program | | | | | | | |
| | ☑ National School Lunch Program | | | | | | | |
| | Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program | | | | | | | |
| | ☐ Afterschool Snack | | | | | | | |
| | ☐ Special Milk Program ☐ Seamless Summer Option | | | | | | | |
| | Seattless Suffiller Option | | | | | | | |
| 2. | Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply) | | | | | | | |
| | ☐ Community Eligibility Provision | | | | | | | |
| | ☐ Special Provision 1 | | | | | | | |
| | ☐ Special Provision 2 | | | | | | | |
| | ☐ Special Provision 3 | | | | | | | |
| Review | Findings | | | | | | | |
| 3. | Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority? ⊠ Yes □ No | | | | | | | |

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

| YES | NO | REVIEW FINDINGS | | | | |
|-------------|----|-----------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| \boxtimes | | A. Pr | ogram | Access and Reimbursement | | |
| | | YES | NO | | | |
| | | \boxtimes | | Certification and Benefit Issuance | | |
| | | \boxtimes | | Verification | | |
| | | | \boxtimes | Meal Counting and Claiming | | |
| | | Finding | g(s) Det | ails: | | |
| | | 1) | | all selected applications were approved correctly. There was one | | |
| | | | | me-based application that was approved that did not include the last | | |
| | | | | digits of the social security number of an adult household member, | | |
| | | | or an | indication of none. | | |
| | | 2) | | FA annualized income when it should have been determined at its d frequency. | | |
| | | 3) | | SFA does not have documentation demonstrating that a confirmation w took place. | | |
| | | 4) | The v | verification process is not being conducted according to USDA | | |
| | | | guide | elines. The SFA did not select from error prone applications. | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | 5) | | SFA did not send a notice of adverse action letter to the selected | | |
| | | | famil | у. | | |
| | П | B. M | eal Pat | terns and Nutritional Quality | | |
| | | YES | NO | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | |
| | | \boxtimes | | Meal Components and Quantities | | |
| | | \boxtimes | | Offer versus Serve | | |
| | | | \boxtimes | Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis | | |
| | | Finding | g(s) Det | | | |
| | | 1) | Durir reim | ng the week of the onsite review, field trip lunches claimed for bursement did not contain the milk component. These meals may be claimed. | | |
| | | 2) | meet meat | e group 9-12- for the week of menu review, the lunch menu did not the minimum daily requirement for meat/meat alternate. A s/meat alternate was offered daily, however the minimum required on size was not met. | | |
| | | 3) | did n | e groups K-8 and 9-12- for the week of menu review, the lunch menu ot meet the minimum daily requirement for grain. A grain was ed daily, however the minimum required portion size was not met. | | |
| | | 4) | meet | e group K-8- for the week of menu review, the lunch menu did not the minimum weekly requirement for grain. A grain was offered , however the weekly minimum requirement was not met. | | |
| | | 5) | requ | versus Serve reimbursable meal signage is not posted, including the irement for students to select at least 1/2 cup fruit at breakfast and up fruit or vegetable at lunch. | | |

| \boxtimes | | | | utrition Environment |
|-------------|--|-------------|---------------------------------|--|
| | | YES | NO | |
| | | \boxtimes | | Food Safety |
| | | \boxtimes | | Local School Wellness Policy |
| | | | | Competitive Foods |
| | | \boxtimes | | Other |
| | | Finding | g(s) Det | ails: |
| | | 1) | | FA provides free second meals to students and is not repaid for nonprogram foods. |
| | | 2) | comp more inclu- perio | FAs Local Wellness Policy does not contain all of the required conents. The Wellness Policy does not include designation of one or SFA officials in charge of school compliance oversight; does not de parents and the public in the development, implementation, dic review, and update of the policy; and does not include current tion guidance for all foods available on the school campus. |
| | | 3) | whos schoo | employees and volunteers outside of the school nutrition program e responsibilities include duties related to the operation of the oll nutrition program did not receive training applicable to their s related to the program. |
| | | 4) | | FA does not maintain a consolidated tracker for documentation of ng hours for all food service staff. |
| | | 5) | | ge violations were observed on-site. The SFA had food that was not upon delivery. |
| | | 6) | The S | FA has not performed SFSP outreach. |
| □ D. | | | vil Righ | ts |
| | | Finding | g(s) Det | ails: |
| | | 1) | | FA does not have a civil right complaint process in place that res that all complaints will be forwarded to an outside agency. |