

800 Governors Drive Pierre, SD 57501-2235 T 605.773.3413 F 605.773.6846 www.doe.sd.gov Email: <u>DOE.SchoolLunch@state.sd.us</u>

STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

School Food Authority Name: <u>McIntosh School District</u>	
Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): 11/28/18	
Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority: <u>12/27/18</u>	

Date review summary was publicly posted: 1/29/18_

The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings provided to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).

General Program Participation

- 1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)
 - X School Breakfast Program
 X National School Lunch Program
 X Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program
 X Afterschool Snack
 Special Milk Program
 Seamless Summer Option
- 2. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)
 - Community Eligibility Provision
 Special Provision 1
 X Special Provision 2
 Special Provision 3

Review Findings

- 3. Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?
 - X Yes 🗌 No

YES	NO	REVIEW FINDINGS					
Х		A. Program Access and Reimbursement					
		YES	NO				
			Х	Certification and Benefit Issuance			
			Х	Verification			
		Х		Meal Counting and Claiming			
		Finding(s) Details:					
		• Finding 1 (Onsite 314): Annual agreement identifies a traditional Point of Service, however, during onsite review, it is observed that a meal count takes place prior to students accessing a vegetable bar. Vegetable bar is observed by a food service employee, serving as an assistant and ensuring students receive reimbursable meals. Annual agreement must be consistent with the onsite processes, per 7 CFR 210.9.					
	 Finding 2 (Onsite 316, Onsite 325): During claim consolidation, School Authority (SFA) made a non-systemic error in recording point of service counts to consolidated count sheet, resulting in an underclaim by or meal. School must submit accurate claims based on point of service per 7 CFR 210.7(c)(1)(iii). 						
Х		B. M	leal Pat	terns and Nutritional Quality			
		YES	NO				
		Х		Meal Components and Quantities			
		Х		Offer versus Serve			
			Х	Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis			
		Findin	ails:				
		• Finding 3 (Onsite 410): Reviewer observed meal pattern quantity violat					
		during the menu review from the review period for breakfast and lunch. • Bread provided at breakfast on 10/15 weighed 27 grams; A 2					
				gram slice of bread by weight credits as 1-ounce equivalent			
				grain, and must be whole grain-rich.			
			C	Homemade granola bars provided at breakfast on 10/16			
				credited short of the required 1-ounce equivalent daily			
				requirement.			
			C	Chicken strips provided at lunch on 10/15 were short of the 2- ounce equivalent grain requirement for 9-12.			
			C	Chicken fajita strips provided at lunch on 10/16 was short of the			
				2-ounce equivalent meat/meat alternate requirement for 9-12,			
				and the tortilla was short of the 2-ounce equivalent requirement for 9-12.			
			C	Brown Rice (grain offering) provided at lunch on 10/18 was short			
				of the grain ounce-equivalent requirements for all grade groups.			
			C	During day of review, two non-whole grain-rich dry noodle			
				products were observed in the pantry. Food Service Director indicates that these products are dated and not used.			
				ed in 7 CFR 210.10(c), schools must offer the food components and s required in the established meal pattern.			

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

-							
	•	 Finding 4 (Onsite 410): The menu review from the review period identified that the menu planner does not have recipes for the Chicken Fajita (10/16), Beef Alfredo (10/17), and Turkey Croissant (10/19) meals. As specified in 7 CFR 210.18(g)(2)(i)(A), crediting documentation must be maintained (such as a CN label, Product Formulation Statement, or accurate recipe) to demonstrate compliance with the meal pattern. Finding 5 (Onsite 502): Signage observed during the day of review explained what constitutes a reimbursable meal to students; however, the signage did not include the requirement to select at least ½ cup fruit or vegetable. Signage is required to include language regarding the selection of ½ cup fruit and/or vegetable for a reimbursable meal. 					
x	□ C.	School Ni	Itrition Environment				
~	YE						
	X		Food Safety				
	Х		Local School Wellness Policy				
		Х	Competitive Foods				
	Х		Other: Summer Food Service Program Outreach				
	Fin	ding(s) Det	ails:				
		 Finding 7 (Offsite 1000 Series): Local Wellness Policy is missing the following required elements: Permit marketing on the school campus during the school day of only foods and beverages which meet nutrition standards. (1000) Documentation of the most recent update was not maintained. (1002) 					
	•	• Finding 8 (Onsite 1403, Onsite 1411): Reviewer observed two products with a country of origin outside of the USA (Whole Baby Corn, Pineapple from Thailand). The SFA did not have documentation on file to support an exception to the Buy American requirement for these products.					
		 The Buy American requirement states that a food or food product is grown and/processed in the USA. At least 51% of the final processed product must be made up of domestically grown commodities. For products that are <u>not</u> USA grown and processed, the SFA needs to have a documentation indicating that domestic products are truly not available or reasonably priced. Finding 9 (Onsite 1407): Food Safety Checklist is completed monthly, however, the checklist and SOPs identify for the checklist to be completed daily. The food safety checklist may be completed monthly, but the SOPs and checklist must identify an accurate reflection of frequency. 					
	•						
	•	Summer F authoritie	D (Offsite 1601): SFA did not inform households of the availability of Food Service Program, as required in 8 CFR 210.12(d)(2). School food as must cooperate with Summer Food Service Program sponsors to materials to inform families of the availability and location of free				

	Summer Food Service Program meals for students when school is not in session.
X	 D. Civil Rights Finding(s) Details: Finding 6 (Offsite 803): SFA does not have a formal or informal policy to handle complaints of discrimination within the school meals program.