

800 Governors Drive Pierre, SD 57501-2235 T 605.773.3413 F 605.773.6846 www.doe.sd.gov

Email: <u>DOE.SchoolLunch@state.sd.us</u>

STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

School Food Authority Name: St. Francis Indian School							
Date of	Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): April 24, 2019						
Date re	Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority: May 15, 2019						
Date re	view summary was publicly posted: August 30, 2019						
complia nutritio civil righ	iew summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's ince with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school n environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to nts, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings d to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).						
Genera	l Program Participation						
1.	What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)						
	 School Breakfast Program National School Lunch Program Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program Afterschool Snack Special Milk Program Seamless Summer Option 						
2.	Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)						
	 □ Community Eligibility Provision □ Special Provision 1 ☑ Special Provision 2 □ Special Provision 3 						
Review	Findings						
3.	Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority? ☑ Yes □ No						

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

YES	NO	REVIEW FINDINGS				
\boxtimes		A. Program Access and Reimbursement				
		YES	NO			
			\boxtimes	Certification and Benefit Issuance		
			\boxtimes	Verification		
		\boxtimes		Meal Counting and Claiming		
		Finding	g(s) Det	ails:		
		1)	The S	SFA has a non-systematic claiming error. The SFA overclaimed 169		
			lunch	nes and 41 breakfasts.		
\boxtimes		B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality				
		YES	NO			
				Meal Components and Quantities		
				Offer versus Serve		
				Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis		
		_	g(s) Det			
		1)		he week of menu review the K-6 menu did not meet the daily grain		
			-	rement for lunch. A grain was offered daily, however the minimum		
			requi	red portion size was not met.		
\boxtimes		C. School Nutrition Environment				
		YES	NO			
		\boxtimes		Food Safety		
				Local School Wellness Policy		
				Competitive Foods		
		\square		Other		
			g(s) Det			
		1)		te monitoring was not completed for any of the sites within the SFA.		
		,		,		
		2)	The S	SFA does not maintain a consolidated tracker for documentation of		
			train	ing hours for all food service staff.		
		3)	The S	FA is not implementing the Food Safety plan properly.		
		4)	The S	FA is not maintaining a temperature log for the dry storage area.		
		5)	The	TEA doos not have the most recent health inspection posted in a		
		3)		FFA does not have the most recent health inspection posted in a e location.		
			VISIDI	e location.		
		6)	Stora	ge violations were observed on-site. The SFA had food that was not		
				d upon delivery, and The SFA has food that was not stored 6 inches		
				ne floor.		
		7)	The S	FA does not have documentation from the distributor on file for		
			-	ucts that are not compliant with the Buy American Provision. (Kiwi		
			and I	Mandarin Oranges)		
			vil Righ			
		Finding	g(s) Det	aiis:		

1)	The SFA is not using the correct non-discrimination statement on program materials.
2)	The SFA does not have a civil right complaint process in place that ensures that all complaints will be forwarded to an outside agency.
3)	Documentation of civil rights training was not provided for all food service staff.