

800 Governors Drive Pierre, SD 57501-2235 T 605.773.3413 F 605.773.6846 www.doe.sd.gov Email: DOE.SchoolLunch@state.sd.us

STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

School Food Authority Name: Edgemont School District

Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): November 19, 2019

Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority: December 19, 2019

Date review summary was publicly posted: February 12, 2020

The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings provided to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).

General Program Participation

- 1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)
 - □ School Breakfast Program
 - ⊠ National School Lunch Program
 - □ Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program
 - □ Afterschool Snack
 - □ Special Milk Program
 - □ Seamless Summer Option
- 2. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)
 - Community Eligibility Provision
 - □ Special Provision 1
 - □ Special Provision 2
 - □ Special Provision 3

Review Findings

- 3. Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?
 - 🖾 Yes 🗌 No

YES	NO	REVIEW FINDINGS		
\boxtimes	□ A. Program Access and Reimbursement			
		YES	NO	
		\boxtimes		Certification and Benefit Issuance
			\boxtimes	Verification
			\boxtimes	Meal Counting and Claiming
		Findin	g(s) Det	
				eal benefit application were correctly approved. There was 1
		ap	plicatio	n where the income was stated and counted twice on one
				n, but the duplication did not result in a change in benefit status, 1
			-	n where Income totals were not calculated correctly, but the error
				sult in a change in benefit status, and 1 application did not include
				our digits of the social security number or the box checked off
		in	dicating	; that the applicant does not have a social security number.
1		2) Tł	ne heari	ng official and confirming official were the same person.
\boxtimes		B. M	eal Pat	terns and Nutritional Quality
		YES	NO	
			\boxtimes	Meal Components and Quantities
		\boxtimes		Offer versus Serve
			\boxtimes	Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis
		Findin	g(s) Det	ails:
				o meal signage for lunch in the cafeteria that identifies the
			-	nts of a reimbursable meal. In addition, there is no Offer versus
				nbursable meal signage for lunch, including the requirement for to select at least 1/2 cup fruit or vegetable.
		2) Fc	or the w	eek of menu review, the lunch menu did not meet the minimum
		-		quirement for the beans/legumes vegetable subgroup. There were
			-	legumes offered this week.
		3) Fo	or the w	eek of menu review, the lunch menu did not meet the minimum
		-		quirement for meat/meat alternate. A meat/meat alternate was
		of	fered d	aily, however the weekly minimum requirement was not met.
\boxtimes		-		utrition Environment
		YES	NO	Fried Cafety
				Food Safety
				Local School Wellness Policy
				Competitive Foods
				Other: SFSP Outreach
			g(s) Det	alls: las not performed SFSP outreach.
		1) Tł	ie sfa li	ומא ווטג אבווטוווופע אראר טעגופמנוו.
		2) St	orage v	iolations were observed on-site. The SFA had food that was not
		-	-	on delivery and food that was opened and not dated with date
			pened.	

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

	 Documentation was not provided to support that the SFA's Local School Wellness Policy has been reviewed or updated since 2015 		
	 4) The SFAs Local Wellness Policy does not contain all of the required components. Required missing components are as follows: 1) Policy Leadership, 2) Triennial Assessment, 3) Publicizing the wellness policy and stakeholders opportunity to participate in the formulation and update of the policy. 		
\boxtimes	D. Civil Rights		
	Finding(s) Details:		
	1) The SFA procedure for handling a discrimination complaint does not include		
	forwarding the complaint to the correct USDA office.		