

STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

School Food Authority Name: James Valley Christian School

Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): December 12, 2019

Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority: January 6, 2020

Date review summary was publicly posted: 5/8/2020

The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings provided to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).

General Program Participation

1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)

- School Breakfast Program
- National School Lunch Program
- Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program
- Afterschool Snack
- Special Milk Program
- Seamless Summer Option

2. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)

- Community Eligibility Provision
- Special Provision 1
- Special Provision 2
- Special Provision 3

Review Findings

3. Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?

- Yes No

This institution is an equal opportunity provider.

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

YES	NO	REVIEW FINDINGS		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	A. Program Access and Reimbursement		
		YES	NO	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Certification and Benefit Issuance
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Verification
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Meal Counting and Claiming
Finding(s) Details:				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality		
		YES	NO	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Meal Components and Quantities
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Offer versus Serve
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis
Finding(s) Details:				
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Signage is not posted near or at the beginning of the serving line identifying what constitutes a reimbursable meal for lunch. 2) For the week of menu review, the lunch menu did not meet the minimum weekly requirement for meat/meat alternate. A meat/meat alternate was offered daily; however the weekly minimum requirement was not met. 				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	C. School Nutrition Environment		
		YES	NO	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Food Safety, Buy American
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Local School Wellness Policy
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Competitive Foods
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other: Resource Management, SFSP Outreach
Finding(s) Details:				
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The SFA is not in compliance with the Buy American provision. Noncompliant food items were observed, and no documentation was available justifying the limited exception(s). 2) The SFAs Local Wellness Policy does not contain all of the required components. The Wellness Policy does not include designation of one or more SFA officials in charge of school compliance oversight and does not policies for food and beverage marketing. 3) The SFA did not track the accumulation of food service funds. Food service net cash resources are co-mingled with school funds and the amount designated for the food service program could not be identified. 4) The SFA incorrectly assessed compliance with non-program food revenue requirements. 5) The SFA has not performed SFSP outreach. 				
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	D. Civil Rights		
Finding(s) Details:				

