

800 Governors Drive Pierre, SD 57501-2235 T 605.773.3413 F 605.773.6846 www.doe.sd.gov

Email: DOE.SchoolLunch@state.sd.us

STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

School Food Authority Name: Mount Vernon School District

Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): November 20, 2019

Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority: November 26, 2019

Date review summary was publicly posted: December 18, 2019

The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings provided to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).

General Program Participation

1.	What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply					
	☑ National School Lunch Program					
	☐ Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program					
	☐ Afterschool Snack					
	☐ Special Milk Program					
	☐ Seamless Summer Option					
2.	Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)					
	☐ Community Eligibility Provision					
	☐ Special Provision 1					
	☐ Special Provision 2					
	□ Special Provision 3					
Review	Findings					
3.	Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority? ☑ Yes □ No					



800 Governors Drive Pierre, SD 57501-2294 T 605.773.3413 F 605.773.6846 www.doe.sd.gov

Email: DOE.SchoolLunch@state.sd.us

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

YES	NO	REVIEW FINDINGS				
X		A. Pr	ogram	Access and Reimbursement		
		YES	NO			
		X		Certification and Benefit Issuance		
			X	Verification		
			X	Meal Counting and Claiming		
		Findin	g(s) Det	ails:		
		1)	Two	applications (5 students) were approved Free, should be Reduced.		
			Two	applications (3 students) were approved Free, should be Denied.		
			One a	application (3 students) was approved Reduced, should be Denied.		
			Due t	o these errors, the Certification and Benefit Issuance error		
			perce	entage is 13.58%. Per 7 CFR 245.3 Eligibility Standards and Criteria		
				he USDA Eligibility Manual for School Meals, agencies must correctly		
				mine each household's eligibility for free and reduced-price meals.		
			This f	inding will result in a Fiscal Calculation at both breakfast and lunch.		
X				erns and Nutritional Quality		
		YES	NO	10 iii		
		X		Meal Components and Quantities		
		X		Offer versus Serve		
			X	Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis		
			g(s) Det			
		1)		g the onsite review, the reviewer observed two breakfast meals		
				ted that did not meet a complete meal under Offer Versus Serve		
			-	rements. Per 7 CFR 210.10 Meal Requirements for Lunches and		
			-	irements for Afterschool Snacks(e), schools utilizing Offer Versus		
				must ensure that all meals claimed for reimbursement include at		
				three of the five required components, including at least 1/2 cup		
				and/or vegetable. This finding will result in a fiscal calculation at		
			break	trast.		
		21	Ciano	as did not indicate what constitutes a valmbursable most and did		
		2)	_	ge did not indicate what constitutes a reimbursable meal and did notlude the requirements to select at least 1/2 cup fruit and/or		
				rable. Regulations in 7 CFR 210.10 Meal Requirements for Lunches		
			_	Requirements for Afterschool Snacks require schools to identify		
				pursable meals to students.		
			1 Cillin	oursuble medis to students.		
X	П	C. School Nutrition Environment				
		YES	NO			
		П	X	Food Safety		
		П	X	Local School Wellness Policy		
		П	X	Competitive Foods		
		X		Other: Food Safety & Storage/Buy American, Outreach		
			g(s) Det			
		1)		wer observed pineapple from Thailand and mandarin oranges from		
		-/		1. 7 CFR 210.21 Procurement requires that all foods served be		



800 Governors Drive Pierre, SD 57501-2294 T 605.773.3413 F 605.773.6846 www.doe.sd.gov

Email: DOE.SchoolLunch@state.sd.us

	agricultural commodities that are produced in the United States; and food products that are processed in the United States, substantially using agricultural commodities that are produced in the United States.			
	2) SFA did not inform households of the availability of Summer Food Service Program (SFSP). No documentation available. According to 7 CFR 210.12 Student, Parent, and Community Involvement, school food authorities must cooperate with Summer Food Service Program sponsors to distribute materials to inform families of the availability and location of free Summer Food Service Program meals for students when school is not in session.			
X	D. Civil Rights			
	Finding(s) Details:			
	1) SFA does not have a formal or informal policy to handle complaints of			
	discrimination within the school meals programs. FNS Instruction 113-1			
	and CANS NSLP Memo 108 describe how complaints of discrimination			
	must be reported.			