

## STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

**School Food Authority Name:** Sioux Falls School District

**Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date):** November 21-22, 2019

**Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority:** December 20, 2019

**Date review summary was publicly posted:** February 12, 2020

---

The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings provided to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).

### General Program Participation

1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)

- School Breakfast Program
- National School Lunch Program
- Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program
- Afterschool Snack
- Special Milk Program
- Seamless Summer Option

2. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)

- Community Eligibility Provision
- Special Provision 1
- Special Provision 2
- Special Provision 3

### Review Findings

3. Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?

- Yes       No

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

YES	NO	REVIEW FINDINGS	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>A. Program Access and Reimbursement</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Finding(s) Details:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality</b>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Finding(s) Details:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) There was no meal signage posted near or at the beginning of the serving line identifying what constitutes are reimbursable meal for lunch at Terry Redlin Elementary.</li> <li>2) Production records are not completed accurately including the Meal Count table at Washington High School and Immersion Elementary School.</li> <li>3) George McGovern Middle School- For the week of menu review, the lunch menu did not meet the minimum weekly requirement for meat/meat alternate. A meat/meat alternate was offered daily; however, the weekly minimum requirement was not met.</li> <li>4) Washington High School- For the week of menu review, the lunch menu did not meet the minimum daily and weekly requirements for meat/meat alternate. A meat/meat alternate was offered daily; however, the minimum daily and weekly requirements were not met.</li> </ol>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>C. School Nutrition Environment</b>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Finding(s) Details:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Storage violations were observed on-site at Washington High School. The SFA had food that was not dated upon delivery.</li> <li>2) The SFAs Local Wellness Policy does not contain all of the required components. The Wellness Policy does not include designation of one or more SFA officials in charge of school compliance oversight; public involvement; information regarding school meals; food sold outside of school meals programs; nutrition promotion; other school-based strategies for Wellness; triennial assessment.</li> </ol>	

		<p>3) The assessment of the Local School Wellness Policy has not been made available to the public.</p> <p>4) One student, with special dietary needs, was not offered a second component on days that milk was served but was given water instead. There were 11 days in October and 8 days in November where the student was not offered two components. These meals will be disallowed.</p> <p>5) The SFA is out of compliance with nonprogram food revenue requirements.</p>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>D. Civil Rights</b>
		Finding(s) Details: