

STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

School Food Authority Name: Takini School

Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): March 2, 2023

Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority: November 6, 2023

Date review summary was publicly posted: 11/6/23

The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings provided to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).

General Program Participation

1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)

- School Breakfast Program
 National School Lunch Program
 Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program
 Afterschool Snack
 Special Milk Program
 Seamless Summer Option

2. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)

- Community Eligibility Provision
 Special Provision 1
 Special Provision 2
 Special Provision 3

Review Findings

3. Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?
 Yes No

This institution is an equal opportunity provider.

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

YES	NO	REVIEW FINDINGS		
X	<input type="checkbox"/>	A. Program Access and Reimbursement		
		YES	NO	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	X	Certification and Benefit Issuance
		<input type="checkbox"/>	X	Verification
		X	<input type="checkbox"/>	Meal Counting and Claiming
Finding(s) Details: 1) The SFA is not following their State Agency approved application. The SFA's application states serve for breakfast, and this was not being followed. Additionally, the price of Adult meals does not match what is actually charged.				
X	<input type="checkbox"/>	B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality		
		YES	NO	
		X	<input type="checkbox"/>	Meal Components and Quantities
		<input type="checkbox"/>	X	Offer versus Serve
		<input type="checkbox"/>	X	Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis
Finding(s) Details: 1) On the day of review, meals that were claimed at the point of service for reimbursement did not contain all required components for breakfast. All required components were available, however, students did not select the minimum components required. Offer VS Serve is not approved for Breakfast in the application and milk was not selected with 62 of the 64 breakfasts served. This finding may result in fiscal action due to incomplete meals claimed for reimbursement. Regulation: 7 CFR 220.8(e) 2) Signage is not posted near or at the beginning of the serving line identifying what constitutes a reimbursable meal for breakfast. 3) The federal regulations require a minimum of 3/4 cup of vegetable to be offered each day for grades K-8. This requirement was not met on Friday. Subgroups of vegetables must be met for each grade group. The 1/2 cup weekly dark green vegetable subgroup requirement was not met for grades K-8. No dark green vegetables were served. 4) Signage is not posted near or at the beginning of the serving line identifying what constitutes a reimbursable meal for lunch.				
X	<input type="checkbox"/>	C. School Nutrition Environment		
		YES	NO	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	X	Food Safety
		<input type="checkbox"/>	X	Local School Wellness Policy
		<input type="checkbox"/>	X	Competitive Foods
X	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other: Resource Management, SFSP Outreach, FFVP Outreach		
Finding(s) Details: 1) The SFA has not performed SFSP outreach. The SFA must perform SFSP outreach before the end of the school year. Examples of outreach may include posting SFSP information on the SFA's website, parent newsletters and district-wide emails were discussed with the SFA. 2) The SFA did not provide sufficient documentation to demonstrate that it obtained approval from the State Agency prior to purchasing equipment with				

		<p>an acquisition cost of \$5,000 or greater.</p> <p>3) The SFA did not provide the documentation necessary to complete allowable cost testing.</p> <p>4) The SFA did not provide sufficient documentation to show that all funds received from the sale of nonprogram foods were deposited into the nonprofit school food service account.</p> <p>5) Lunches served to adults are not priced so that the adult payment is sufficient to cover the overall costs of lunch. Additionally, the SFA misreported adult meal prices to the State Agency.</p> <p>6) The SFA did not determine compliance with non-program food revenue requirements. The South Dakota Nonprogram Food Reference Period Tool or USDA Non-Program Food Revenue Tool must be completed annually to determine the need to increase non-program food prices.</p> <p>7) The school is not widely publicizing the Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program. To be in compliance, the school participating in the FFVP must ensure that the program is widely publicized so that students and their families are aware of this opportunity.</p>
<input type="checkbox"/>	X	<p>D. Civil Rights</p> <p>Finding(s) Details:</p>