

800 Governors Drive Pierre, SD 57501-2235 T 605.773.3413 F 605.773.6846 www.doe.sd.gov

Email: DOE.SchoolLunch@state.sd.us

STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

| School Food Authority Name: Kimball School District 07-2 | |
|---|--|
| Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): 12/15/2023 | |
| Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority: 4/16/2024 | |
| Date review summary was publicly posted: 8/2/24 | |

The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings provided to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).

General Program Participation

1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)

X School Breakfast Program X National School Lunch Program X Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program Afterschool Snack Special Milk Program Seamless Summer Option

2. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)

Community Eligibility Provision Special Provision 1 Special Provision 2 Special Provision 3

Review Findings

3. Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?

X Yes No



800 Governors Drive Pierre, SD 57501-2294 T 605.773.3413 F 605.773.6846 www.doe.sd.gov

Email: DOE.SchoolLunch@state.sd.us

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

| YES | NO | | | REVIEW FINDINGS | |
|-----|----|---|----------|--|--|
| X | | A. Program Access and Reimbursement | | | |
| ^ | | YES | NO | | |
| | | X | _ | Certification and Benefit Issuance | |
| | | Х | | Verification | |
| | | | Х | Meal Counting and Claiming | |
| | | Findin | g(s) Det | | |
| | | The SFA used incorrect income conversions when approving household | | | |
| | | , | | cations. | |
| | | 2) | | all selected applications were approved correctly. | |
| | | 3) | The C | Confirmation Reviewer may not also be the Determining Official. | |
| Х | | B. M | | terns and Nutritional Quality | |
| | | YES | NO | | |
| | | Х | | Meal Components and Quantities | |
| | | | Х | Offer versus Serve | |
| | | | Х | Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis | |
| | | Finding(s) Details: 1) The SFA is not maintaining menus. 2) For the week of menu review, the K-8 breakfast menu did not meet the | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | minimum weekly requirements for fruit. | | | |
| | | 3) On the day of review, fluid milk was not available in at least two varieties | | | |
| | | on all serving lines for breakfast. | | | |
| | | 4) On the day of review, fluid milk was not available in at least two varieties | | | |
| | | on all serving lines for lunch. | | | |
| | | 5) For the week of menu review, the K-8 lunch menu did not meet the minimum daily and weekly requirements for meat/meat alternate. 6) For the week of menu review, the K-8 lunch menu did not meet the minimum daily and weekly requirements for grain | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| V | | minimum daily and weekly requirements for grain. C. School Nutrition Environment | | | |
| Х | | C. So | NO NO | utrition Environment | |
| | | TES | | Food Cofety | |
| | | | Х | Food Safety | |
| | | Х | | Local School Wellness Policy | |
| | | | Х | Competitive Foods | |
| | | X | -/s\ Dst | Other: Professional Standards, Buy American, Outreach | |
| | | Finding(s) Details: 1) The Local Wellness Policy has not been reviewed or updated. 2) The Local Wellness Policy does not contain all of the required components. | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | 2) The Local Wellness Policy does not contain all of the required components.3) The SFA is not tracking training hours annually. | | | |
| | | 4) The school nutrition staff, including part-time, full-time, and any staff hired | | | |
| | | after January 1, did not meet the training requirements, and did not have | | | |
| | | | | d/planned trainings for the remainder of the school year to meet | |
| | | | | aining requirements. | |
| | Х | _ | vil Righ | | |
| | ,, | Finding(s) Details: | | | |
| | | 1 | D(5) DCC | **** | |