

Email: <u>DOE.SchoolLunch@state.sd.us</u>

STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

| School Food Authority Name: McLaughlin School District 15-02 |
|---|
| Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): <u>11/29/2023</u> |
| Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority: <u>3/13/2024</u> |
| Date review summary was publicly posted: 6/21/24 |
| The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings provided to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3). |
| General Program Participation |
| 1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply) |
| X School Breakfast Program x National School Lunch Program x Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program x Afterschool Snack Special Milk Program Seamless Summer Option |
| 2. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply) |
| X Community Eligibility Provision Special Provision 1 Special Provision 2 Special Provision 3 |
| Review Findings |
| Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority? x Yes □ No |



Email: DOE.SchoolLunch@state.sd.us

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

| YES | NO | | | REVIEW FINDINGS | | |
|-----|----|---|----------------------|--|--|--|
| | | A. Pr | ogram | Access and Reimbursement | | |
| | | YES | NO | | | |
| | | | х | Certification and Benefit Issuance | | |
| | | | х | Verification | | |
| | | х | | Meal Counting and Claiming | | |
| | | Findin | g(s) Det | | | |
| | | 1) | Meal | count totals by category have not been combined or recorded | | |
| | | | corre | ctly. This is a non-systemic error. Edit Checks for the day of review | | |
| | | | were | not provided. The SFA must ensure that meal counts are being | | |
| | | | recor | ded accurately. This may result in a fiscal calculation. | | |
| | | 2) | | | | |
| | | | | terns and Nutritional Quality | | |
| | | YES | NO | | | |
| | | Х | | Meal Components and Quantities | | |
| | | Х | | Offer versus Serve | | |
| | | | | Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis | | |
| | | Finding(s) Details: | | | | |
| | | | | A did not maintain the following supporting menu documentation. | | |
| | | | | t keep production and menu records for reimbursable meals offered. | | |
| | | | | was not provided on the day of review. | | |
| | | | | e is not posted near or at the beginning of the serving line identifying | | |
| | | | | stitutes a reimbursable meal for breakfast. | | |
| | | - | | week of menu review, the 9 -12 breakfast menu did not meet the | | |
| | | | | daily and weekly requirements for grain. The daily minimum of 1 oz. | | |
| | | | ı. was n r grade: | ot met on all days. The weekly requirement of 9 oz. eq. was not met | | |
| | | | • | week of menu review, the 9-12 breakfast menu did not meet the | | |
| | | - | | | | |
| | | whole grain-rich requirement. At least 80% of the grains offered weekly must be whole grain-rich to meet requirements. Whole grain-rich crediting could | | | | |
| | | | | termined due to lack of documentation received from the Sponsor. | | |
| | | | | dient portion of the Nutrition Facts Labels are needed for the | | |
| | | | _ | items to determine the whole grain-rich contribution: | | |
| | | | arly Rise | | | |
| | | | - | past square | | |
| | | | | on a stick | | |
| | | | anana k | | | |
| | | | | ll varieties | | |
| | | 5) | The me | eal pattern crediting for the daily grains component could not be | | |
| | | de | etermin | ed due to lack of documentation received from the Sponsor. This | | |
| | | fir | nding m | ay result in fiscal action due to incomplete meals claimed for | | |
| | | re | imburse | ement. | | |
| | | 6) | The SF | A is not maintaining Child Nutrition labels or Product Specification | | |
| | | St | atemen | ts for processed menu items. A Child Nutrition Label or Product | | |
| | | Fo | rmulati | on Statement was not available for all processed menu items. The | | |
| | | | | ensure that Child Nutrition labels or Product Formulation | | |
| | | St | atemen | ts for processed products are being maintained in order to | | |



Email: <u>DOE.SchoolLunch@state.sd.us</u>

document an item's compliance with the meal pattern. The SFA should have a folder or a binder to keep labels for all menu items, this allows for easy reference when determining menu compliance.

- 7) Nutrition facts labels are not available and kept on file for all menu items. The SFA must ensure that nutrition facts labels are being maintained in order to document an item's compliance with the meal pattern. The SFA should have a folder or a binder to keep labels for all menu items, that way they are easy to find and can be referenced when determining menu compliance.
 8) On the day of review, fluid milk was not available in at least two varieties on all serving lines for breakfast. There was milk available throughout the meal, however there was only one option 1% low fat white milk.
- 9) Signage is not posted near or at the beginning of the serving line identifying what constitutes a reimbursable meal for lunch.
- 10) For the week of menu review, the 9-12 lunch menu did not meet the minimum daily and weekly requirements for meat/meat alternate. The daily minimum of 2 oz. eq. was not met for grades 9-12. The weekly requirement of 10 oz. eq. was not met for grades 9-12. For the week of menu review, the 9-12 lunch menu did not meet the minimum daily and weekly requirements for grains. The daily minimum of 2 oz. eq. was not met for grades 9-12. The weekly requirement of 10 oz. eq. was not met for grades 9-12. For the week of menu review, the 9-12 lunch menu did not meet the whole grain-rich requirement. At least 80% of the grains offered weekly must be whole grain-rich to meet requirements. Whole grain-rich crediting could not be determined due to lack of documentation received from the Sponsor. The ingredient portion of the Nutrition Facts Labels are needed for the following items to determine the whole grain-rich contribution:
- -Noodles used in Goulash
- -Breadsticks
- -Corndog
- -Hamburger bun
- -Rice

For the week of menu review, the 9-12 lunch menu did not meet the minimum daily and weekly requirements for fruit. The daily minimum requirement of 1 cup of fruit was not met on each day, with only ½ cup offered daily. The weekly minimum of 5 cups was not met, with only 2 ½ cup(s) offered. For the week of menu review, the 9-12 lunch menu did not meet the minimum daily and weekly requirements for vegetables. The daily minimum requirement of 1 cup of vegetables was not met on each day, with only ½ cup offered daily. The weekly minimum of 5 cups was not met, with only 2 ½ cup(s) offered. For the week of menu review, the 9-12 lunch menu did not meet the 1-1/4 cup minimum weekly requirement for the red/orange vegetable subgroup, with only ½ cup offered. For the week of menu review, the 9-12 lunch menu did not meet the minimum ¾ cup weekly requirement for the Other vegetable subgroup. No Other subgroup vegetables were offered this week.

11) The meal pattern crediting for the daily meat/meat alternate and daily grains components could not be determined due to lack of documentation received from the Sponsor. This finding may result in fiscal action due to incomplete meals claimed for reimbursement. The SFA is not maintaining



Email: DOE.SchoolLunch@state.sd.us

Child Nutrition labels or Product Specification Statements for processed menu items. A Child Nutrition Label or Product Formulation Statement was not available for all processed menu items. The SFA must ensure that Child Nutrition labels or Product Formulation Statements for processed products are being maintained in order to document an item's compliance with the meal pattern. The SFA should have a folder or a binder to keep labels for all menu items, this allows for easy reference when determining menu compliance. Nutrition facts labels are not available and kept on file for all menu items. The SFA must ensure that nutrition facts labels are being maintained in order to document an item's compliance with the meal pattern. The SFA should have a folder or a binder to keep labels for all menu items, that way they are easy to find and can be referenced when determining menu compliance. The SFA is not maintaining standardized recipes. The SFA must ensure that all sites are using standardized recipes for the meals they produce. If the SFA is using USDA recipes, they are already standardized, however if they make any modifications to the recipe it must be documented. If the SFA creates their own recipes it is recommended that they use the USDA template for standardized recipes to ensure that they include all required areas. 12) Offer versus Serve reimbursable meal signage does not include the requirement for students to select at least 1/2 cup fruit or vegetable. 13) The cafeteria staff has not been trained on Offer versus Serve. **School Nutrition Environment** C. YES NO **Food Safety** Х Local School Wellness Policy Х **Competitive Foods** Х Other: Professional Standards, Buy American, Outreach Finding(s) Details: 1) The assessment of the Local Wellness Policy has not been made available to the public. The LEA is required to perform an assessment of the Local Wellness Policy at a minimum at least once every three years. The results of the assessment must be made available to the public. 2) The new School Nutrition Program Director did not complete food safety training within 30 days of being hired, and no previous food safety certification was obtained in the last 5 years. 3) The SFA has not performed SFSP outreach. The SFA must perform SFSP outreach before the end of the school year. Examples of outreach may include posting SFSP information on the SFA's website, parent newsletters and district-wide emails were discussed with the SFA. 4) The school is not widely publicizing the Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program. To be in compliance, the school participating in the FFVP must ensure that the program is widely publicized so that students and their families are aware of this opportunity. 5) The SFA did not have the most recent food safety inspection report posted in a publicly visible location. The health inspections were located in the kitchen behind a door.

6) The SFA does not have a food safety plan. The SFA must have a food safety



Email: DOE.SchoolLunch@state.sd.us

| | plan available at each site to ensure food service staff will be able to stay in | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | compliance with food safety requirements and procedures. | | |
| | D. Civil Rights | | |
| | Finding(s) Details: | | |
| | | | |