

2010-2011 Complaint Log (July 1, 2010-June 30, 2011)

District involved in complaint	Date received	60 days	Person(s) filing complaint	SD Advocacy involved?	Issues of the complaint	Complaint results	Mediation	Issue date of complaint report/findings	Corrective action plan received date
Brookings	10/1/10	11/30/10	Parents/SDAS	Yes	The complainant alleges the district: 1. refused to provide free appropriate public education, 2. did not use prior notice procedures correctly, 3. did not follow development, review, and revision of IEP, including yearly review and revision, 4. did not follow short term suspension procedures correctly, 5. did not have proper IEP team for meetings, and; 6. did not provide physical education services.	School district is: Out of compliance issues 2, 3, 5	No	11/29/2010	12/20/2010
	Date received	60 days	Person(s) filing complaint	SD Advocacy involved?	Issues of the complaint		Mediation	Issue date of complaint report/findings	Corrective action plan received date

Rapid City	10/21/10	12/10/2010	SDAS.parents	Yes	The complainant alleges the district: 1. failed to provide FAPE 2. failed to identify student as a child in need of services prior to evaluating 3. failed to follow proper referral procedures including failure to document and conduct an informal review	NA	yes, held 11/15/10 successful	Withdrawn 11/19/10	NA
District involved in complaint	Date received	60 days	Person(s) filing complaint	SD Advocacy involved?	Issues of the complaint		Mediation	Issue date of complaint report/findings	Corrective action plan received date
Madison	12/17/10	2/15/11	Parent/SDAS	Yes	The complainant alleges the district: 1. limited the cost of all Independent Education Evaluations (IEE) and did not follow federal regulation when parent requested an IEE, 2. unreasonably delated the IEE and did not file for a hearing, and; 3. requested specific information from parent for purposes of determining whether it would agree to an IEE.	School District is: Out of compliance on issue 1	no	02/08/2011	02/22/2011
District involved in complaint	Date received	60 days	Person(s) filing complaint	SD Advocacy involved?	Issues of the complaint		Mediation	Issue date of complaint report/findings	Corrective action plan received date

Sioux Falls	5/16/11	7/15/11	SDAS	Yes	The complainant alleges the district: 1. refused to provide FAPE 2. has not had appropriate IEP team participants 3. has delayed providing services 4. has not used prior notices correctly.	NA	no	07/11/2011 complaint dismissed due to insufficient information	NA
District involved in complaint	Date received	60 days	Person(s) filing complaint	SD Advocacy involved?			Mediation	Issue date of complaint report/findings	Corrective action plan received date

Bison	5/25/11	7/24/11	SDAS/parent	Yes	The complainant alleges the district: 1. did not provide a free, appropriate public education by not following IDEA and dismissing the student from special education services without appropriate notice and evaluation, 2. did not follow evaluation procedures, 3. did not use prior notices correctly, 4. held IEP meetings without proper IEP member attendance, 5. did not use reevaluation procedures correctly to determine dismissal, and; 6. used a teacher that was not highly qualified and teacher was not properly supervised	Issues 1, 3, and 6 no violations . Issues 2,4,5, there is a violation of State regulations Part B of IDEA and the regulations.	no	07/18/2011	08/17/2011
District involved in complaint	Date received	60 days	Person(s) filing complaint	SD Advocacy involved?	Issues of the complaint		Mediation	Issue date of complaint report/findings	Corrective action plan received date

Closure date

02/23/2011

Closure date

11/19/10

Closure date

03/18/2011

Closure date

7/11/2011

Closure date

09/12/2011

Closure date

12/17/2011

Closure date

07/11/2011